arXiv:1401.8249v2 [math.AG] 3 Feb 2014

COMPACTIFICATIONS OF CHARACTER VARIETIES AND SKEIN RELATIONS ON CONFORMAL BLOCKS

CHRISTOPHER MANON

Abstract. We construct a scheme-theoretic completion of the free group character variety $\mathcal{X}(F_g, G)$ for each graph Γ with $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$, using elements of the WZNW model of conformal field theory for a simple Lie algebra $Lie(G) = \mathfrak{g}$. We accomplish this by showing that the algebra of conformal blocks $V_{C_\Gamma}(G)$ is a Rees algebra of the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, G)]$, for C_Γ the stable curve of type Γ. In the case $G = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ we describe the resulting compactification explicitly, and in turn we conclude that the algebra $V_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is presented by homogenized skein relations. Along the way, we prove the parabolic version of these results over stable, marked curves $(C_\Gamma, \vec{p}_\Gamma)$.

1. Introduction

For a free group F_g on g generators, the character variety $\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is the moduli space of representations $\rho : F_g \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ carries several beautiful combinatorial structures, related to roles these spaces play in geometry and mathematical physics. This ring is spanned by spin diagrams [LP], and it has a presentation by skein relations on a ribbon graph Γ with $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$ [PS],[FG]. In this paper we study a projective compactification of $\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ using these combinatorial structures.

A ribbon structure on a graph Γ is an assignment of a cyclic ordering on the edges in the link of each vertex. From this object one can define a thickened graph by replacing each vertex with a disk and each edge with a rectangle, these components are then glued according to the ribbon structure. Roughly, the skein algebra associated to Γ is a vector space spanned by arrangements of paths inside the thickened graph up to isotopy equivalence.



Figure 1. Paths on a thickened graph associated to a ribbon structure.

Multiplication is given by taking unions of these arrangements in general position, and the skein relations are the rules used for resolving crossings.



Figure 2. the skein relation $tr(M)tr(N) = tr(MN) + tr(MN^{-1})$.

Skein relations are appealing in part because they present classical equations from linear algebra in a graphical way, for example Figure 2 represents a simple equation in the traces of two 2×2 matrices. Their natural graphical combinatorics can also reveal algebraic structures in $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$. We

let $F_\Gamma(L) \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ be the subspace spanned by elements with $\leq L$ paths through each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$. These spaces form an increasing filtration on the algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, with an associated Rees algebra $R_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]) = \bigoplus_{L \geq 0} F_\Gamma(L)$. Our first result places this algebra in the context of the moduli of algebraic principal bundles on projective curves.

For a smooth, connected, projective curve C of genus g, let $M_C(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ be the moduli space of semistable principal $SL_2(\mathbb{C})-$bundles on C. As the curve C varies in the moduli $\mathcal{M}_g$, the $M_C(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ form a flat family of projective schemes, and this family can be extended ([M4]) to a flat family on the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$. The stack $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is stratified by combinatorial types of arrangements of nodal singularities, and that the lowest strata are isolated points C_Γ indexed by connected trivalent graphs Γ with no leaves, and $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$.



FIGURE 3. A stable curve with graph

Theorem 1.1. *The Rees algebra $R_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))])$ is isomorphic to the projective coordinate ring $V_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ of $M_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. As a consequence, each $M_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is a compactification of $\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Now we explain our approach to this result, which works for all simple, simply-connected G. The graded components $V_C(L)$ of the projective coordinate ring $V_C(G)$ of $M_C(G)$ are known to be the spaces of conformal blocks associated to the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model of conformal field theory on C with respect to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = Lie(G)$. The WNZW theory assigns a finite dimensional vector space $V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L)$ to any stable marked curve $(C, \vec{p})$, non-negative integer L, and assignment $p_i \to \lambda_i$ of dominant $\mathfrak{g}-$weights. Results of Kumar, Narasimhan, and Ramanathan [KNR], Faltings [Fal], Beauville and Laszlo [BL], and Pauly [P] (in the parabolic case) identify these spaces with the spaces of global sections of line bundles on moduli spaces of prinipical bundles on the curves $(C, \vec{p})$. We let Δ_L be the level L alcove of a Weyl chamber Δ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Conformal blocks come with the following properties, proved in [TUY].

(1) (Flatness) The spaces $V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L)$ form a vector bundle over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

(2) (Correlation) The space $V_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L)$ can be realized as a subspace of the space of invariants in the $n-$fold tensor product of irreducible representations $(V(\lambda_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes V(\lambda_n))^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

(3) (Factorization) For a stable curve C with nodal singularity $q \in C$ and partial normalization $\tilde{C}$,

$$V_{\mathbb{C},\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_L} V_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(\vec{\lambda}, \alpha, \alpha^*, L)$$

.



FIGURE 4. Normalization of a stable curve

We view Theorem 1.1 as a geometric, higher genus analogue to correlation, and we use factorization to reduce the higher genus question to a question about projective lines. The representation-theoretic translation of Theorem 1.1 is the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2. *For C a stable curve of genus g with rational irreducible components, the space $V_C(L)$ can be realized as a subspace of $\bigoplus_{\lambda_i \in \Delta_L} [V(\lambda_1) \otimes V(\lambda_1^*) \otimes \ldots \otimes V(\lambda_g) \otimes V(\lambda_g^*)]^{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proposition 1.2 and its parabolic analogue are consequences of Proposition 1.4 below. The idea is to build the spaces $M_{C_\Gamma}(G)$ out of the parabolic moduli spaces $M_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L)$, for $(C, \vec{p}) \in \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, following the symplectic methods of Hurtubise, Jeffrey, and Sjamaar [HJS]. By decomposing a stable curve C into its marked irreducible components $(C_i, \vec{q}_i)$, one attempts to reconstruct a point in $M_{C_\Gamma}(G)$ as a collection of points on parabolic moduli over the component curves with compatible parabolic data, this is a geometric approximation to the factorization statement above. However, all of the semistability data $\frac{\vec{\lambda}_i}{L}$ at the marked points are needed as gluing data to obtain the points of $M_{C_\Gamma}(G)$, so one requires a space associated to each $C_i, \vec{q}_i$ which makes all of this data available. This phenomenon manifests as the sum over $\alpha \in \Delta_L$ in the factorization statement. A space with this property is studied in [M4], the spectrum $\bar{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ of the total coordinate ring $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ of quasi-parabolic principal bundles on $C, \vec{p}$ (see also [LS]). This space is more flexible in two senses, first any of the parabolic moduli can be obtained as a T^n GIT quotient from $\bar{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$, where $T \subset G$ is a maximal torus.

$$\bar{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)/_{\vec{\lambda},L} T^n = M_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) \tag{1}$$

Second, for a stable curve $(\tilde{C}, \vec{p})$, with normalization $\coprod(C_i, \vec{p}_i, \vec{q}_i)$ the scheme $\bar{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is -almost- a torus GIT quotient of the product $\prod \bar{K}_{C_i,\vec{p}_i,\vec{q}_i}(G)$, they are related by flat degeneration.

$$\bar{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G) \Rightarrow [\prod \bar{K}_{C_i,\vec{p}_i,\vec{q}_i}(G)]/(T \times \mathbb{C}^*)^m \tag{2}$$

Here m is the number of pairs of points q_1, q_2 introduced by the normalization. While this flat degeneration statement is an approximation of the property we need, it is too weak for our purposes. We pass to another "universal" space of principal bundles, $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$, and its affine cone $\bar{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$. Mirroring $\bar{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$, any moduli space of parabolic bundles can be obtained from $\bar{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ by an extended G^n GIT quotient.

$$\bar{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G) \times \mathcal{O}(\lambda_1) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{O}(\lambda_n)/G^n = M_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) \tag{3}$$

Here $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ is the flag variety of G associated to the dominant weight λ. The spaces $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ still fit into a flat family over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and the second property above becomes equality on the nose.

Proposition 1.3. *The following holds for a stable curve $(C, \vec{p})$ with normalization $\coprod(C_i, \vec{p}_i, \vec{q}_i)$.*

$$\bar{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G) = [\prod \bar{B}_{C_i,\vec{p}_i,\vec{q}_i}(G)]/(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)^m \tag{4}$$

In order to provide the geometric analogue to the classical invariant space $(V(\lambda_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes V(\lambda_n))^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we bring in spaces $M_{g,n}(G)$ studied in part by Fock and Goncharov in [FG]. For general g, n, the $M_{g,n}(G)$ have the property that they can be assembled by GIT quotient out of $M_{0,3}(G)$ in analogy with Proposition 1.3, and furthermore $M_{g,0}(G) = \mathcal{X}(F_g, G)$. This allows us to prove the following theorem, here $U^n \subset G^n$ is an $n-$fold product of maximal unipotent subgroups, and $P_{g,n}(G) = M_{g,n}(G)/U^n$.

Theorem 1.4. *The coordinate ring of $\bar{B}_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(G)$ is a Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)]$, and $M_{g,n}(G)$ is a dense, open subscheme of $B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}}(G)$. The coordinate ring of $\bar{K}_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(G)$ is a Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[P_{g,n}(G)]$, and $P_{g,n}(G)$ is a dense, open subscheme of $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(G)$.*

Returning to the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ setting, the level of detail provided for the coordinate rings allows us to give an explicit description of the closed stratifications of $B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ given by intersecting the irreducible components of their boundary divisors $D_\Gamma = B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ $\backslash M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, $E_\Gamma = K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ $\backslash P_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. We give a description of the poset defined by this stratification, and a construction of each stratum as a GIT quotient.

Theorem 1.5. *The following hold for D_Γ and E_Γ.*

1. *The intersection of all the irreducible components is a toric variety $D_{V(\Gamma)}$, resp. $E_{V(\Gamma)}$.*
2. *The stratum D_S obtained by intersecting a set of components S is isomorphic to a GIT quotient $[D_{V(\Gamma_S)} \times B_{C_{\Gamma^S},\vec{p}_{\Gamma^S}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]//(SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{C}^*)^{\mathcal{L}_S}$, where Γ_S and Γ^S are subgraphs of Γ derived from the set S. The corresponding statement also holds for E_S.*
3. *If Γ has a leaf, or is not bipartite, the stratification poset is a Boolean lattice on the set of vertices $V(\Gamma)$, and the codimension of a stratum obtained by intersecting a set of components S is $|S|$.*
4. *If Γ has no leaves and is bipartite, the stratification poset is the Boolean lattice on $V(\Gamma)$ modulo the lattice ideal composed of those sets T with $V(\Gamma) \setminus T$ contained in one of the sets of the partition defined by the bipartite structure. The codimension of a stratum obtained by intersecting a set of irreducible components S which is not in this ideal is $|S|$.*

We conclude the paper by showing that the toric degeneration of $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ constructed in [M4] extends to $B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, and that the stratification on these spaces by components of their boundary divisors degenerates componentwise to part of a stratification of the toric degenerations by torus orbits. The toric degeneration also plays a part in determining equations which cut out the spaces $B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. Lifts of the generators and relations for the coordinate rings of the toric degenerations give presentations of the coordinate rings of these spaces, and Theorem 1.4 provides these lifts: homogenized skein generators and relations. The fact that the spaces $B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ sit in a flat family with the corresponding spaces over smooth curves $(C,\vec{p})$ then implies that the equations which cut out $B_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $K_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ are generically (in $(C,\vec{p})$) deformations of skein ideals. This should be compared to the conjectural presentation in the $g = 0$ case in [StV]. Similar degenerations are also studied in [StXu] in the $g = 0$ case and [A] in the $n = 0$ case.

1.1. **Remarks, Questions, and Conjectures.** As we remarked above, $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is spanned by spin diagrams, which naturally emerge as the states of the quantization of BF theory for $SU(2)$. One way to eliminate divergences in this theory is to pass to BF theory with a cosmological constant, or Chern-Simons theory, which corresponds to the representation theory of the associated quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(su(2))$, see [Ba]. The spin diagrams of the latter span the projective coordinate rings of the spaces $M_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, which compactify $\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ by Theorem 1.1, this completes a pleasant classical/quantum analogy. More generally, the construction in Section 3 implies a procedure for compactifying the character variety $\mathcal{X}(F_g, G)$.

Proposition 1.6. *For every G^3-equivariant compactification of $M_{0,3}(G)$, there is a compactification of $M_{g,n}(G)$ for any trivalent graph Γ with n leaves and $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$.*

Roughly, one looks for G^3-stable filtrations on $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(G)]$, these translate to combinatorial features of structures on a ribbon graph. It would be interesting to characterize which of these compactifications lead to $\Gamma-$compactifications of $M_{g,n}(G)$ which are all flat-deformation equivalent, as the global sections of line bundles on the resulting spaces would behave like the Hom spaces of a semisimple tensor category. Our construction arrises from G^3-stable valuations which stem from the theory of conformal blocks, extrapolating from our results for $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ in Section 8, we conjecture that these always have a simple description in type A.

Conjecture 1.7. *The algebra $W_{0,3}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))$ is generated by the extended conformal blocks of level* 1.

We note that results in [M10] imply this conjecture in the case $G = SL_3(\mathbb{C})$. This would resolve a gap in our understanding of $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))$ in the general case, namely whether or not it is a finitely generated algebra. Conjecture 1.7 would also be useful for developing the algebraic combinatorics of conformal blocks. A resolution could come from developing a standard monomial theory on the coordinate ring of $M_{0,3}(SL_m(\mathbb{C})) = SL_m(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_m(\mathbb{C})$ which is compatible with the filtration defined by the conformal blocks. It would be interesting to relate such a structure to the cluster algebra structure on (dense open subschemes of) the spaces $M_{g,n}(G)$ and $P_{g,n}(G)$ given in [FG] (see also [MSW]).

Our strategy in this paper is to construct $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ as $Proj$ of a graded ring $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$. We remark in 6.4 that this ring is the projective coordinate ring associated to a certain line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on the stack $\mathcal{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ of $G-$principal bundles on C with wonderful compactification $\bar{G}$-framings at each p_i. This

implies that $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is the image of a map from the locus $\mathcal{B}^{ss}_{C,\vec{p}}(G) \subset \mathcal{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ of semi-stable bundles with respect to the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$. It would be interesting to find a description of this semi-stability condition intrinsic to principal bundles, and relate $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ to other "universal" moduli spaces. Of particular interest is the space constructed in recent work of Bhosle, Biswas, and Hurtubise, [BBH], we wonder if their space can be realized as $Proj$ of $W_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))$. We note that a positive resolution of this question would prove that $W_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))$ and $V_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))$ are finitely generated. Also, Theorem 1.1 would hold for their space if it can be shown to contain $M_{0,3}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))$ as a dense open $SL_m(\mathbb{C})^3-$stable subscheme by Proposition 1.6. It would also be interesting if it could be shown that $M_{g,n}(G)$ is a dense open subset of $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ for all curves $(C,\vec{p})$, as opposed to the specific type of stable curves we consider here. The analogous result in representation theory would be a generalization of Proposition 1.2 to all stable curves.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 constitutes part of an analysis of the face poset of the phylogenetic statistical polytopes studied in [BBKM], [BW], and [Bu]. Each of these polytopes provides a combinatorial model for the spaces we study, so a full description of this poset would be interesting for phylogenetics, the character varieties, and the combinatorics of spin diagrams. These polytopes also have generalizations for other groups, see [KM], [M10].

1.2. **Outline of the paper.** In Section 2 we give the background on the graphs Γ which stratify $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and define some basic operations on these objects. In Section 3 we give a construction of the spaces $M_{g,n}(G)$ out of the spaces $M_{0,3}(G)$ for each trivalent graph Γ, and show that these constructions all agree. In Sections $4, 5, 6$ we bring in the theory of conformal blocks, and prove the Rees algebra statement Theorem 1.4. In Section 7 we specialize to the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ case and describe the skein algebra structure on $M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, and give a technical combinatorial tool to study this algebra, the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$ of weight diagrams. In Section 8 we explore the Skein relations in the setting of conformal blocks, and in Section 9 we describe the boundary stratifications and prove Theorem 1.5. Section 10 is an Appendix which covers the basics of Rees algebras.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background on graphs and curves 5
3. The scheme $M_{g,n}(G)$ 6
4. Correlation and factorization on conformal blocks 9
5. Multiplication in the coordinate ring of $\bar{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ 10
6. Factorization and the fiber over a stable curve 11
7. The $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ theory 13
8. Skein relations and conformal blocks 22
9. Geometry of $B_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $K_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ 25
10. Appendix 29

References 30

2. Background on graphs and curves

In this section we review the combinatorial theory of stable curves, following [DM]. The Deligne-Mumford stack $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ comes with a stratification $\cup\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\Gamma,\gamma)$ by stability type. This data is captured in a graph Γ with a labelling $\gamma : V(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, called the internal genus, satisfying the following conditions. We let $\eta(v)$ be the valence of a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$.

Definition 2.1 (semistable graph)**.** *A labelled graph $[\Gamma,\gamma]$ is said to be semistable of genus g if $2\gamma(v)+\eta(v) \geq 3$ for each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ and $\sum \gamma(v) + \beta_1(\Gamma) = g$.*

We will briefly describe how a semistable graph $[\Gamma,\gamma]$ captures both the structure of the irreducible components and the marked points of a stratum of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. We let $[\hat{\Gamma},\gamma]$ be the labelled forest obtained from $[\Gamma,\gamma]$ by splitting each internal edge of Γ. Notice that the non-leaf vertices of $\hat{\Gamma}$ can be canonically identified with those of Γ, and each vertex has a connected component $\Gamma_v \subset \hat{\Gamma}$ as its link in Γ.



FIGURE 5. A graph Γ with forest $\hat{\Gamma}$

There is a natural quotient graph Γ/e obtained by deleting an interior edge e, and bringing its endpoints v, w together to form a vertex u with label $\gamma(v)+\gamma(w)$. We call a composition of these maps an admissable map. Admissable maps define a partial ordering on the genus g semistable graphs with n leaves, where $[\Gamma', \gamma'] \leq [\Gamma, \gamma]$ if Γ can be obtained from Γ' by a collapsing a subset $S \subset E(\Gamma)$. A stratum $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\Gamma', \gamma')$ appears in the boundary of $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}(\Gamma, \gamma)$ precisely when $[\Gamma', \gamma'] \leq [\Gamma, \gamma]$.

We will focus on trivalent graphs $[\Gamma, \gamma]$ with $\gamma(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V(\Gamma)$. When it is clear that we are using this flavor of semistable graph, we will drop the γ. The following proposition establishes that the graphs are all connected by a series of local operations, for a proof see [Bu].

Definition 2.2. *We say two trivalent graphs Γ, Γ' are mutation equivalent if there is a sequence of graphs $\Gamma = \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_k = \Gamma'$ such that $\Gamma_i/e_i = \Gamma_{i+1}/e_{i+1}$ for some edges $e_i \in E(\Gamma_i)$.*



FIGURE 6. Mutation of two genus 2 graphs.

Proposition 2.3. *Any two trivalent graphs with the same genus g and number of leaves n are mutation equivalent.*

Several constructions we discuss will be shown to be independent of graph by establishing that the construction is identical on mutation equivalent graphs.

3. The scheme $M_{g,n}(G)$

In this section define an affine scheme $M_\Gamma(G)$ for every semistable graph Γ of genus g with n leaves, with $\gamma(v) = 0$ for every $v \in V(\Gamma)$. We show that this construction only depends on the number of leaves and $\beta_1(\Gamma)$.

3.1. **The ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$.** Recall that the Peter-Weyl theorem gives a decomposition of the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$ into a graded sum of irreducible $G \times G$ representations $V(\lambda, \lambda^*) = V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda^*)$.

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta_G} V(\lambda, \lambda^*) \tag{5}$$

We let $v_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$ be a highest weight vector, and $p_\lambda : V(\lambda, \lambda^*) \to \mathbb{C}$ the unique $G-$invariant map which sends $v_\lambda \otimes v_{-\lambda}$ to 1. With these objects in hand, $v \otimes f \in V(\lambda, \lambda^*)$ defines a function on G as follows.

$$g \to p_\lambda(g^{-1}v, f) \tag{6}$$

For any two dominant weights α, β, the representations $V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta)$ and $V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*)$ have $G-$linear decompositions,

$$V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta) = \bigoplus_\eta Hom(V(\eta), V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta)) \otimes V(\eta) \tag{7}$$

$$V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*) = \bigoplus_\eta Hom(V(\eta^*), V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*)) \otimes V(\eta^*) \tag{8}$$

For intertwiners $f \in Hom(V(\eta), V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta))$ and $g \in Hom(V(\eta^*), V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*))$, the map $p_\alpha \otimes p_\beta \circ (f \otimes g) : V(\eta, \eta^*) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a multiple $T_\eta^{\beta,\alpha}(f, g)$ of p_η. Here $T_\eta^{\beta,\alpha} : Hom(V(\eta), V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta) \otimes Hom(V(\eta^*), V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*) \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nondegenerate bilinear form which gives an identification,

$$Hom(V(\eta), V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta))^* = Hom(V(\eta^*), V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*)). \tag{9}$$

This yields the equation

$$p_\alpha \otimes p_\beta = \sum T_\eta^{\beta,\alpha} \otimes p_\eta, \tag{10}$$

which allows us to write out the product of two elements in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. For $(v \otimes f) \otimes (w \otimes h) \in V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta, \beta^*)$, we can decompose $v \otimes w = \sum F_\eta \otimes X_\eta$, and $f \otimes h = \sum K_{\eta^*} \otimes Y_{\eta^*}$. The product is then the function which takes $g \in G$ to the following complex number.

$$p_\alpha(g^{-1}v, f)p_\beta(g^{-1}w, h) = \sum T_\eta^{\alpha,\beta}(F_\eta, K_{\eta^*})p_\eta(g^{-1}X_\eta, Y_{\eta^*}). \tag{11}$$

The linear extension of this map to non-simple tensors is then the multiplication map $V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta, \beta^*) \to \bigoplus_\eta V(\eta, \eta^*)$ in $C[G]$. We let $I_\eta^{\beta,\alpha} \in Hom(V(\eta), V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta)) \otimes Hom(V(\eta^*), V(\alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta^*))$ be the element which represents the identity on the vector space $Hom(V(\eta), V(\alpha) \otimes V(\beta)) \otimes Hom(V(\eta^*)$, and $O_\alpha \in V(\alpha, \alpha^*)$ be the element which represents identity on $V(\alpha)$. By dualizing Equation 10 we get $O_\alpha \otimes O_\beta = \sum I_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} \otimes O_\eta$. We let $q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} : V(\eta, \eta^*) \to V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta, \beta^*)$ be the map which sends $\Phi \in V(\eta, \eta^*)$ to $I_\eta^{\beta,\alpha} \otimes \Phi$. The previous discussion implies that the multiplication map in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is computed by dualizing the following maps.

$$\sum q_{\eta^*}^{\alpha^*,\beta^*} : \bigoplus_{\eta \le \alpha+\beta} V(\eta^*, \eta) \to V(\alpha^*, \alpha) \otimes V(\beta^*, \beta) \tag{12}$$

We also need to make use of the trivial identification $G = G\backslash[G \times G]$, and the corresponding fact on coordinate rings, $\mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G \times G]^G$. On the level of spaces, this isomorphism is given by the map ϕ which takes an element $(g, h) \in G \times G$ to $g^{-1}h \in G$. Notice that this is equivariant with respect to the left action of G on $G \times G$, and the trivial G action on G. An inverse ϕ^{-1} is given by $g \to (1, g)$. So this map identifies $\mathbb{C}[G]$ with the left G invariants in $\mathbb{C}[G \times G]$.

$$\mathbb{C}[G \times G] = \bigoplus_{\lambda,\eta} V(\lambda, \lambda^*) \otimes V(\eta, \eta^*) \tag{13}$$

$$\mathbb{C}[G \times G]^G = \bigoplus_\eta \mathbb{C}\rho_\eta \otimes V(\eta) \otimes V(\eta^*) \subset \bigoplus_\eta [V(\eta^*) \otimes V(\eta)] \otimes [V(\eta) \otimes V(\eta^*)] \tag{14}$$

It is straightforward to compute that the map $[\phi^{-1}]^* : \mathbb{C}[G \times G]^G \to \mathbb{C}[G]$ takes $\rho_\eta \otimes f \otimes v \in \mathbb{C}\rho_\eta \otimes V(\eta, \eta^*)$ to the function $[g \to dim(V(\eta))f(g^{-1}v)] \in \mathbb{C}[G]$, so this map takes the component $\mathbb{C}\rho_\eta \otimes V(\eta) \otimes V(\eta^*)$ to $V(\eta, \eta^*)$. This map is also encapsulated by dualizing the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta, \beta^*) & \longrightarrow & V(\alpha_1, \alpha_1^*) \otimes V(\alpha_2, \alpha_2^*) \otimes V(\beta_1, \beta_1^*) \otimes V(\beta_2, \beta_2^*) \\ \Sigma\, q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} \big\uparrow & & \Sigma\, q_{\eta_1}^{\alpha_1,\beta_1} \otimes q_{\eta_2}^{\alpha_2,\beta_2} \big\uparrow \\ \bigoplus_\eta V(\eta, \eta^*) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\eta_1,\eta_2} V(\eta_1, \eta_1^*) \otimes V(\eta_2, \eta_2^*) \end{array}$$

Here each $V(\lambda, \lambda^*)$ on the left column is taken to $\mathbb{C}\rho_\lambda \otimes V(\lambda) \otimes V(\lambda^*)$. After taking invariants on the left hand side indices of the right hand column, the only components that remain are those with $\alpha = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2^*$, $\beta = \beta_1 = \beta_2^*$, and $\eta = \eta_1 = \eta_2^*$. In particular, $q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} : V(\eta, \eta^*) \to V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta, \beta^*)$ is identified with $q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} \otimes q_{\eta^*}^{\alpha^*,\beta^*} : [V(\eta, \eta^*) \otimes V(\eta^*, \eta)]^G \to [V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\alpha^*, \alpha)]^G \otimes [V(\beta, \beta^*) \otimes V(\beta^*, \beta)]^G$.

3.2. **The affine scheme $M_{g,n}(G)$.** We begin with the $g = 0$ case.

Definition 3.1. *We consider the left diagonal action of G on G^n, and define the following space.*

$$M_{0,n}(G) = G\backslash G^n \tag{15}$$

We assign a copy of $M_{0,\eta(v)}(G)$ to each connected component $\Gamma_v \subset \hat{\Gamma}$, each edge in Γ_v has a corresponding action of G on $M_{0,\eta(v)}(G)$, acting on the right. Each non-leaf edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ therefore has an associated action of $G \times G$ on the product $M_{\hat{\Gamma}}(G) = \prod_{v \in V(\Gamma)} M_{0,\eta(v)}(G)$ defined by right actions corresponding to the two edges in $\hat{\Gamma}$ which map to e under π. We let $G^{E(\Gamma)}$ be the product of the diagonal subgroups $G \subset G \times G$ over the edges non-leaf edges in Γ, and define $M_\Gamma(G)$ as the following quotient.

$$M_\Gamma(G) = M_{\hat{\Gamma}}(G)/G^{E(\Gamma)} \tag{16}$$

Proposition 3.2. *$M_\Gamma(G)$ depends only on the number of leaves n and $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$.*

Proof. We will show that $M_\Gamma(G) = M_{\Gamma'}(G)$ for any two graphs connected by an admissable map $\pi : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$. We first treat the case of a tree $\mathcal{T}$ with exactly two internal vertices $v, v' \in V(\mathcal{T})$ with valences k, m, and the admissable map $\pi : \mathcal{T} \to C_w$ which identifies v, v' to a single vertex w. This forces C_w to be a claw tree with $n = k + m - 2$ leaves.

$$M_{\mathcal{T}}(G) = [M_{0,k}(G) \times M_{0,m}(G)]/G = [G\backslash G^k] \times [G\backslash G^m]/G \tag{17}$$

The right hand action above is on the $k-$th and $k+1$st components. We identify $[G \times G]/G$ with G as $G \times G$ varieties. This implies that $M_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ is $G^2\backslash G^{k+m-1}$, where the first component acts on the first k indices on the left, and the second acts on the last $m-1$ indices on the left and the $k-th$ index on the right. We now identify $G\backslash G^k$ with G^{k-1}, taking the right G^k action to the right G^{k-1} action crossed with the left diagonal G action. This identifies $M_{\mathcal{T}}(G)$ with $G\backslash G^{k+m-2}$, where the action is diagonal on the left. Furthermore, this construction preserves the residual right G^{k+m-2} action. It follows that if $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ is an admissable map which collapses a single edge in $E(\Gamma)$, then $M_\Gamma(G) = M_{\Gamma'}(G)$, this proves the theorem.

□

Any graph Γ with $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$ and n leaves has an admissable map to $\Gamma_{g,n}$, the graph with one internal vertex, g loops and n leaves, so it suffices to compute $M_{g,n}(G) = M_{\Gamma_{g,n}}(G)$. The graph $\Gamma_{g,n}$ has a single vertex, and $\hat{\Gamma}_{g,n}$ is a claw tree with $2g + n$ leaves. From above we get $M_{\hat{\Gamma}_{g,n}}(G) = G\backslash G^{2g+n}$. In order to pass from $M_{\hat{\Gamma}_{g,n}}(G)$ to $M_{\Gamma_{g,n}}(G)$ we quotient by G^g on the right hand side, where the $i-$th factor acts on the $i-$th and $g + i-$th components of G^{2g+n}. Once again, by the identification $G\backslash G^2 = G$, the resulting space is $G\backslash G^{g+n}$, where the first g components have the adjoint action and the last n components have the left action.

In the case $n = 0$, with $g \geq 2$, there are stable graphs of type $(g, 0)$. From the recipe above, $M_{g,0}(G)$ is G^g modulo the diagonal adjoint action by G, which is the character variety $\mathcal{X}(F_g, G)$ for F_g the free group on g generators. When $n > 0$, the space $M_{g,n}(G)$ is isomorphic to G^{g+n-1} by the general principal $X \times G/G = X$ for any $G-$space X, where the G component has the left or right action.

For any graph Γ, an admissable map $\pi : \Gamma \to \Gamma_{g,n}$ is determined by a bijection on leaves, and a bijection between $V(\Gamma) \setminus V(\mathcal{T}_\pi)$ and the non-leaf edges of $\Gamma_{g,n}$ for $\mathcal{T}_\pi$ a spanning tree of Γ. We made choices in constructing the isomorphism in Proposition 3.2. We omit the proof here, but it is straightforward to show that there is an isomorphism $\Phi_{\mathcal{T},\vec{e}} : M_\Gamma(G) \to M_{g,n}(G)$ for every spanning tree $\mathcal{T} \subset \Gamma$, and choice of orientations on the edges not collapsed by the map which sends $\mathcal{T}$ to the single vertex in $\Gamma_{g,n}$.

Viewing $M_{g,n}(G)$ as G^{g+n-1} may not be the most convenient presentation for the theory of conformal blocks. In the sequel for genus 0, type A, we view this algebra as qoutient of the Plücker algebra $P_{m,mn}$, the coordinate algebra of the Grassmannian variety $Gr_m(\mathbb{C}^{nm})$. This description follows from Weyl's first fundamental theorem of invariant theory, and the fact that $SL_m(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of $m \times m$ matrices

with determinant 1. Below p_S for $|S| = m$ denotes the $m \times m$ minor of a matrix with m rows and nm columns on the indices $S \subset [nm]$.

$$\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))] = P_{m,nm} / < p_{1\ldots m} = \ldots = p_{(n-1)m+1,\ldots,nm} = 1 > \tag{18}$$

4. Correlation and factorization on conformal blocks

In this section we review the factorization and correlation constructions on conformal blocks. For a more complete account of these constructions, see the book of Ueno, [U], and the papers of Beauville, [B1] and Looijenga [L].

4.1. **Construction of conformal blocks.** We denote the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and we let $H(0, L)$ be the integrable highest weight module with highest weight vector $v_{0,L}$. For a collection of dominant weights $\vec{\lambda} = \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \subset \Delta_L = \{\lambda | \lambda(H_\omega) \leq L\} \subset \Delta$, the space of conformal blocks $V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L)$ can be realized as a finite-dimensional subspace of the full vector space dual of $H(\vec{\lambda}, L) = H(0, L) \otimes V(\vec{\lambda})$. Following a construction of Beauville [B1], (see also 10.1 of [LS]) the subspace in question is picked out as the space of invariants with respect to an action of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, for $q \in C$ some point $\neq p_i$.

$$V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) = [H(\vec{\lambda}^*, L)^*]^{\mathbb{C}[C \setminus \vec{p}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}} \tag{19}$$

We define spaces of extended conformal blocks as $W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, L) = V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) \otimes V(\vec{\lambda}^*)$, note that these spaces also form a vector bundle over $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$.

4.2. **Correlation.** The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is naturally realized as the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}1 \otimes \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}[C \setminus \vec{p}] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, and the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $H(\vec{\lambda}^*, L)^*$ restricts to the expected action on $V(\vec{\lambda}) = V(\lambda_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes V(\lambda_n)$. As a result, there is a intertwiner called the correlation map.

$$\kappa_{\vec{\lambda},L} : V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) \to [V(\vec{\lambda})]^{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{20}$$

In [B1], when the genus of C is 0, the image of $\kappa_{\vec{\lambda},L}$ can be described as the set of invariant tensors in $V(\vec{\lambda}^*)$ which are annhilated by the $L+1$st power $e_{\vec{p}}^{L+1}$ of a nilpotent operator, $e_{\vec{p}}$. When $n = 3$ the space $\mathcal{M}_{0,3}$ is the single point $(\mathbb{P}^1, 0, 1, \infty)$, in this case the space of conformal blocks $V_{0,3}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, L)$ can be described explicitly. Each $\mathfrak{g}$ representation $V(\lambda)$ can be decomposed into isotypical components along the action of the copy of $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding the longest root ω.

$$V(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V(\lambda, i) \tag{21}$$

We decompose $V(\lambda) \otimes V(\eta) \otimes V(\mu)$ in each component accordingly, and define the subspace V_L as the sum of the components $V(\lambda, i) \otimes V(\eta, j) \otimes V(\mu, k)$ with $i + j + k \leq 2L$.

Proposition 4.1. *(*[U]*)*

$$V_{0,3}(\lambda, \eta, \mu, L) = [V(\lambda) \otimes V(\eta) \otimes V(\mu)]^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap V_L \tag{22}$$

We will make use of the map obtained from $\kappa_{\vec{\lambda},L}$ by tensoring both sides of the above expression with $V(\vec{\lambda})$, which we also call $\kappa_{\vec{\lambda},L}$ when no confusion results.

$$\kappa_{\vec{\lambda},L} : W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, L) \to [V(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*)]^{\mathfrak{g}} \tag{23}$$

4.3. **Factorization.** For any singularity $q \in C$, one can form the partial normalization $(\tilde{C}, q_1, q_2)$, where q_1, q_2 are the two new points introduced by splitting q. Factorization expresses how the spaces of conformal blocks behave under this operation.

Theorem 4.2 ([TUY])**.**

$$V_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_L} V_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(\vec{\lambda}, \alpha, \alpha^*, L) \tag{24}$$

We mention now that if a curve is not connected, $(C, \vec{p}) = (C_1, \vec{p_1}) \cup (C_2, \vec{p_2})$, the space of conformal blocks is a tensor product.

$$V_{C_1 \cup C_2, \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2}(\vec{\lambda}_1, \vec{\lambda_2}, L) = V_{C_1,\vec{p}_1}(\vec{\lambda}_1, L) \otimes V_{C_2,\vec{p}_2}(\vec{\lambda}_2, L) \tag{25}$$

The map in Proposition 4.2 is constructed from the following map on representations of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, recall the vector $O_\alpha \in V(\alpha, \alpha^*)$.

$$F_\alpha : H(\vec{\lambda}^*, L) \to H(\vec{\lambda}^*, \alpha^*, \alpha, L) \tag{26}$$

$$F_\alpha(v) = v \otimes O_{\alpha^*} \tag{27}$$

This map is then dualized, and invariants are taken by the respective algebras to produce the factorization map.

4.4. **Factorization for the spaces $W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, L)$.** In order to boost factorization to the spaces $W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, L)$ we use the following map.

$$F_\alpha : H(\vec{\lambda}^*, \vec{\lambda}, L) \to H(\vec{\lambda}^*, \vec{\lambda}, L) \otimes V(\alpha^*, \alpha) \otimes V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \tag{28}$$

$$F_\alpha(v) = v \otimes O_{\alpha^*} \otimes O_\alpha \tag{29}$$

Here $O_{\alpha^*} \otimes O_\alpha$ are tensors on the first and third, and second and fourth indices respectively. Taking invariants yields the following isomorphism by Theorem 4.2.

$$W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, L) = \bigoplus_{\vec{\lambda}} W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, L)^G \tag{30}$$

Here G acts on the second and fourth indices, leaving the tensor O_α invariant, and the algebra $\mathbb{C}[C \backslash q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ acts on the first and third indices, as well as those coming from the marked points $\vec{p}$.

5. Multiplication in the coordinate ring of $\bar{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$

We construct the rings $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ and show that $W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}}(G)$ is a Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(G)]$.

5.1. **The multiplication map.** The map $q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} : V(\eta, \eta^*) \to V(\alpha, \alpha^*) \otimes V(\beta, \beta^*)$ from Subsection 3.1 lifts to $q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} : H(\eta, \eta^*, L + K) \to H(\alpha, \alpha^*, L) \otimes H(\beta, \beta^*, K)$, and is computed as follows.

$$v_{0,L+K} \otimes \Phi \to I_\eta^{\alpha,\beta} \otimes v_{0,L} \otimes v_{0,K} \otimes \Phi \tag{31}$$

The map generalizes as expected to the $n-$marked case.

$$\mu^* : \bigoplus_{\vec{\eta} \leq \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta}} H(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, L + K) \to H(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha}^*, L) \otimes H(\vec{\beta}, \vec{\beta}^*, K) \tag{32}$$

Dualizing and taking invariants by the Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ then yields the following map.

$$\mu : W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha}^*, L) \otimes W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\beta}, \vec{\beta}^*, L) \to \bigoplus_{\vec{\eta} \leq \vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta}} W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, L) \tag{33}$$

We consider the scheme $Q \times G^n$, where Q is the affine Grassmannian variety for the group G, see [LS] for the definition of this space and a description of its place in the theory of principal bundles. The total coordinate ring of this scheme is the vector space $\bigoplus_{\vec{\lambda},L} H(0,L)^* \otimes V(\vec{\lambda},\vec{\lambda}^*)$. The multiplication map on this algebra is given by dualizing the maps $q_\eta^{\alpha,\beta}$ on each G component of the product, and by dualizing the map of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ representations given by sending the highest weight vector $v_{0,L+K}$ to $v_{0,L} \otimes v_{0,K}$. This shows that the bilinear operation we've constructed above agrees with the multiplication operation in the $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ -invariant subring of the total coordinate ring of $Q \times G^n$, this is our ring $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$.

5.2. **Extending correlation.** Next, we extend the correlation map from the previous section to the algebraic setting. First we briefly discuss how a valuation can be constructed on a $k-$algebra A from $k-$linear nilpotent derivation $e : A \to A$. One defines $(v_e)_{\leq L}(A)$ to be the space of elements $a \in A$ such that $e^{L+1}(a) = 0$. If $a \in (v_e)_{\leq L}, a \notin (v_e)_{\leq L-1}(A)$ then $e^L(a) \neq 0$, but $e^{L+1}(a) = 0$. If $e^K(a) \neq 0, e^L(b) \neq 0$, $e^{K+1}(a) = e^{L+1}(b) = 0$, then $e^{L+K}(ab) = \sum \binom{K+L}{m} e^{K+L-m}(a)e^m(b) = \binom{K+L}{K} e^K(a)e^L(b) \neq 0$, and $e^{K+L+1}(ab) = 0$. It follows that the associated graded ring $gr_{v_e}(A)$ is a domain, and v_e defines a valuation. We apply this construction to the operator $e_{\vec{p}}$ on $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(G)]$ from Subsection 4.2.

Proposition 5.1. *The algebra $W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}}(G)$ is the Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(G)]$ corresponding to the valuation defined by the nilpotent operator $e_{\vec{p}}$.*

Proof. The operator $e_{\vec{p}}$ defined in [B1] is a $\mathbb{C}-$linear derivation as constructed. Furthermore, the space annhilated by $e_{\vec{p}}^{L+1}$ is canonically identified with $W_{C,\vec{p}}(L) = \bigoplus_{\vec{\lambda}} W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda},\vec{\lambda}^*,L)$. The diagram below shows that the multiplication operation induced on these spaces from $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(G)]$ agrees with multiplication in $W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}}(G)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\alpha},\vec{\alpha}^*,L) \otimes W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\beta},\vec{\beta}^*,K) & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & \bigoplus_{\vec{\eta} \leq \vec{\alpha}+\vec{\beta}} W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\eta},\vec{\eta}^*,L+K) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa_{\vec{\alpha},L} \otimes \kappa_{\vec{\beta},K}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \kappa_{\vec{\eta},L+K}} \\ V(\vec{\alpha}^*,\vec{\alpha}) \otimes V(\vec{\beta}^*,\vec{\beta}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\vec{\eta} \leq \vec{\alpha}+\vec{\beta}} V(\vec{\eta}^*,\vec{\eta}) \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes by the construction of the operation μ^* on $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$, as it is taken directly from multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Note that the diagram commutes for arbitrary curves. When $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, this defines a $1-1$ map of algebras, $\kappa_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}} : W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}}(G) \to \mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$. □

6. Factorization and the fiber over a stable curve

We prove Theorem 6.1, and extend Proposition 5.1 to show that $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ and $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ are Rees algebras of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)]$ and $\mathbb{C}[P_{g,n}(G)]$, when C is a stable curve with rational irreducible components.

6.1. **Factorization for the algebra $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$.** The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $(\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2)$ be the partial normalization of a stable curve $(C,\vec{p})$ at a double point $q \in C$, then the following equation holds.*

$$W_{C,\vec{p}}(G) = W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(G)^{G \times \mathbb{C}^*} \tag{34}$$

Here the action of G on $W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(G)$ is on the right hand side of the factors associated to q_1 and q_2, and the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ has character equal to the difference of the levels on on the algebras corresponding to the connected components of $\tilde{C}$.

Proof. First we note that the following diagram commutes by construction.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H(\vec{\lambda},\vec{\lambda}^*,L) \otimes H(\vec{\mu},\vec{\mu}^*,K) & \xrightarrow{F_\alpha \otimes F_\beta} & H(\vec{\lambda},\vec{\lambda}^*,L) \otimes V(\alpha,\alpha^*) \otimes H(\vec{\mu},\vec{\mu}^*,K) \otimes V(\beta,\beta^*) \\ {\scriptstyle \sum q_\gamma^{\lambda,\mu}} \uparrow & & \uparrow {\scriptstyle \sum q_{[\gamma,\eta]}^{[\lambda,\alpha],[\mu,\beta]}} \\ \bigoplus_{\vec{\gamma}} H(\vec{\gamma},\vec{\gamma}^*,L+K) & \xrightarrow{\sum F_\eta} & \bigoplus_{\vec{\gamma},\eta} H(\vec{\gamma},\vec{\gamma}^*,L+K) \otimes V(\eta,\eta^*) \end{array}$$

The η, α, β component of $q_{[\gamma,\eta]}^{[\lambda,\alpha],[\mu,\beta]}$ is dual to multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G^2]^G$, as in Subsection 3.1. Dualizing this diagram, and taking invariants by $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ on the left and $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{C} \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and G on the right then produces the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, L) \otimes W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\mu}^*, K) & \longleftarrow & W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\lambda}^*, \alpha, \alpha^*, L)^G \otimes W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(\vec{\mu}, \vec{\mu}^*, \beta, \beta^*, K)^G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{\vec{\eta}} W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, L+K) & \longleftarrow & \bigoplus_{\vec{\eta},\gamma} W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\eta}^*, \gamma, \gamma^*, L+K)^G \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are multiplication in $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ and $W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(G)^{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}$, respectively. The action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ is hidden in this notation by the fact that we consider the same level on both of the possible connected components of $\tilde{C}$. The horizontal arrows are isomorphisms by the factorization theorem. □

Notice that this implies that $W_{\tilde{C},\vec{p},q_1,q_2}(G)^{G\times\mathbb{C}^*}$ sits in an irreducible flat family with the algebras $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ for C smooth.

6.2. $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$, $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ **and** GIT**.** Now we explain the relationship between $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ and $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$. By working through definitions, we have $W_C(G) = V_C(G)$ in the $n = 0$ case, the following addresses $n > 0$.

Proposition 6.2. *The algebra $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is the U^n invariant subring of $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$. Equivalently, the space $\hat{K}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is obtained from $\hat{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ by a right hand side $U^n \subset G^n$ reduction.*

Proof. Following Section 5.1, we can identify $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ with the the $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ in the projective coordinate ring of $Q \times G^n$. Since the $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ action commutes with the $U^n \subset G^n$ action on the right, we may take the U^n invariants first. This yields the coordinate ring of $Q \times (G/U)^n$, which is known to contain $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ as its $\mathbb{C}[C \setminus q] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ invariant subring, [M4]. □

The pair $\mathcal{O}(\lambda), \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ denotes the flag variety of parabolic weight λ, with its canonical line bundle. We consider the product $\hat{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G) \times \mathcal{O}(\vec{\lambda})$, with the linearization $\vec{\lambda} = \mathcal{L}(\lambda_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{L}(\lambda_n)$. This space has an action of G^n, where the $i-th$ component acts on the $i-$th index of $\hat{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$, this is linearized by the trivial line bundle with the $\mathcal{L}(\lambda_i)$. The projective coordinate ring of the GIT quotient of this scheme with respect to G^n is the following invariant subring.

$$[W_{C,\vec{p}}(G) \otimes \bigoplus_{m\geq 0} V(m\lambda)]^{G^n} = \bigoplus_{m\geq} V_{C,\vec{p}}(m\vec{\lambda}, mL) = R_{\vec{\lambda},L} \tag{35}$$

The GIT quotient $[G/U]/_{\vec{\lambda}}T$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$, therefore the above ring can also be identified with the T^n-invariants in $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ with respect to the character defined by $\vec{\lambda}$. The algebra $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is the total coordinate ring of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ of quasi-parabolic principal bundles, and the above ring is the projective coordinate ring of the line bundle on this stack associated to $(\vec{\lambda}, L)$. This bundle picks out a semistable locus $\mathcal{M}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)_{\vec{\lambda},L}$ over which this bundle is ample, and the $Proj$ of the corresponding ring is then the coarse moduli $M_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda}, L)$, see [TW].

6.3. $M_{g,n}(G) \subset B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(G)$**.** We can now prove the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *For C a stable curve with genus 0 irreducible components, $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is a Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)]$. Furthermore $M_{g,n}(G)$ can be realized as a dense, open subscheme of $B_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$.*

Proof. We let Γ be the dual graph to the arrangment of projective lines defined by C. We get the following from Theorem 6.1.

$$W_{C,\vec{p}}(G) = [\bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)} W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}_i}(G)]^{[G\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{E(\Gamma)}} \tag{36}$$

The algebra $W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}_i}(G)$ for each of these components comes with the correlation morphism from Subsection 5.2.

$$\kappa_i : W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}_i}(G) \to \mathbb{C}[M_{0,n_i}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[t_i] \tag{37}$$

The gluing actions of G on $\bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)} \mathbb{C}[M_{0,n_i}]$ associated to each edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ then commute with the corresponding actions on $\bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)} W_{\mathbb{P}^1,\vec{p}_i}(G)$. Furthermore the actions of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ components extend to the grading by t_i parameters, and taking invariants identifies all of these parameters together. The result is a correlation morphism for Γ.

$$\kappa_\Gamma : W_{C,\vec{p}}(G) \to \mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)] \otimes \mathbb{C}[t], \tag{38}$$

This implies that the spaces $W_{C,\vec{p}}(L)$ define a filtration F_Γ on $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)]$, and that $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is a Rees algebra for this filtration.

□

There is an identical description of $V_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ as a Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[P_{g,n}(G)]$ obtained by taking U^n invariants. In the case that C is itself a genus 0 curve, all of the spaces $K_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ have the universal configuration space $P_{0,n}(G)$ as a dense open subscheme.

Remark 6.4. *The rings $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ can be shown to be projective coordinate rings for the quotient stack $\mathcal{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G) = G(C \setminus q)\backslash Q \times \bar{G}^n$ of $\bar{G}-$framed principal bundles on $(C,\vec{p})$, where $\bar{G}$ is the wonderful compactification of G, studied by Brion in* [Br]. *This stack is constructed and studied by mimicking the program in* [LS]. *Following* [LS], *we conclude that $Pic(\mathcal{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G)) = Pic(Q\times\bar{G}^n$, with $H^0(\mathcal{B}_{C,\vec{p}}(G),\mathcal{N}) = H^0(Q\times\bar{G}^n,\hat{N})^{\mathbb{C}[C\setminus q]\otimes\mathfrak{g}}$.*

The group $Pic(\bar{G})$ is isomorphic to the root lattice, and the global sections of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\beta$ with $\beta = \sum n_i\alpha_i$ are isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\lambda(H_{\alpha_i})\le n_i} V(\lambda,\lambda^*)$.

We use the fact that $Pic(Q) = \mathbb{Z}$, and that the global sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^L$ are identified with the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$-representation $H(0,L)^*$. Therefore, if we take the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ corresponding to $(L, L\omega,\dots,L\omega)$, for ω the longest root, then the global section space is isomorphic to the sum of the spaces $W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda},\vec{\lambda}^*,L)$ with $\lambda_i(H_{\alpha_j}) \le L$. It follows that all $\vec{\lambda}$ with λ_i of level L appear in this sum, and indeed these are the only tuples for which $W_{C,\vec{p}}(\vec{\lambda},\vec{\lambda}^*,L)$ is nonzero. We then obtain the algebra $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ as the projective coordinate ring of $\mathcal{N}$.

7. The $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ theory

In this section we describe the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$. We first describe $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, then we build a spanning set for $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ locally from the $0,3$ case using a trivalent graph Γ with $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$ and n leaves. We then introduce two combinatorial tools, a ribbon structure on Γ, and a semigroup algebra degeneration of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, in order to determine bases for these rings. This basis and its multiplication properties are essentially in [FG] and [MSW], however our construction differs, and is tailored to the philosophy that all objects be built locally from the $g = 0, n = 3$ case. See [LP] for a discussion of this ring from the point of view of spin diagrams.

7.1. **The scheme $M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.** The coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is constructed as the ring of left $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ invariants in the coordinate ring of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^3$.

$$\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))] = SL_2(\mathbb{C})\backslash[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times SL_2(\mathbb{C})] \tag{39}$$

We view $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^3$ as the space of 2×6 matrices satisfying three determinant equations.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} a_1 & b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & a_3 & b_3 \\ c_1 & d_1 & c_2 & d_2 & c_3 & d_3 \end{array}\right], \quad det\begin{bmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

Each of these equations is invariant with respect to the left $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ action, so it follows that $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is presented as the invariant algebra in the space of 2×6 matrices modulo these equations. We temporarily affix a cyclic ordering on the matrix columns and view this as the $2,6$ Plücker algebra. We conclude that $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is generated by the 2×2 minors $p_{ij}, 1 \le i < j \le 6$, subject to $p_{ij}p_{k\ell} - p_{ik}p_{j\ell} + p_{i\ell}p_{jk} = 0, p_{2s-1,2s} = 1$. Here i,j,k,ℓ are cyclically ordered elements of $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$, and $s \in \{1,2,3\}$. The identification of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ with a matrix group fixes an ordering

on each pair of columns $2s-1, 2s$, and the permutation group π_3 acts on this space by changing $s \in \{1,2,3\}$, note that this action preserves the set of Plücker monomials.

We now represent this algebra in a manner indepedent of the cyclic ordering by introducing the negatives $-p_{ij}$. We group the indices into pairs $\{1,2\},\{3,4\},\{5,6\}$, and represent each Plücker generator as an oriented path between a pair of these sets with an addition orientation $a_s \in \{UP, DOWN\} = \{2s-1, 2s\}$ affixed at the endpoints.

(40)

(41) 

Plücker equations are captured by the two graphical relations above, along with $p_{ij} = -p_{ji}$. Notice that this analysis generalizes to $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ as well.

7.2. **Γ-tensors.** We define a distinguished set of elements $T_{g,n} \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ called Γ-tensors. The elements of $T_{g,n}$ are built from the Plücker generators in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ with Γ serving as a combinatorial guide. First we define an abstract Γ-form, which contains the necessary combinatorial information to define a Γ-tensor.

Definition 7.1. *An abstract Γ-form $\bar{V}(P,\phi,A)$ is the following information.*

(1) *For each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, a collection P_v of directed paths with endpoints in the leaves of the link $\Gamma_v \subset \Gamma$.*

(2) *For each edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ which connects vertices v, w, an isomorphism of sets ϕ_e which identifies paths leaving v with those going into w, and vice-versa.*

(3) *A choice of orienation data $a_\ell \in \{UP, DOWN\}$ for each end point of a path which terminates at a leaf ℓ of Γ.*



FIGURE 7. A Γ−tensor.

Note that the only paths in $\bar{V}(P,\phi,A)$ with chosen orientation data are those which begin or end on a leaf of Γ. For an abstract Γ form $\bar{V}(P,\phi,A)$, we say that an assignment B of orientation data to the non-leaf endpoints of paths in P is *coherant* if paths identified by some ϕ_e are given opposite orientations. We place a copy of $M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ to each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, with each leaf of Γ_v assigned to one of the 3 gluing indices. The data $\bar{V}(P,\phi,A)$, B then allows us to assign a Plücker generator in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ to each path, defining a tensor $V(P,\phi,B,A) \in \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\Gamma)}]$. We let the signature $\sigma(P,\phi,B,A) \in \{1,-1\}$ be -1 to the number times an outgoing path at a connecting edge e is given the [DOWN] orientation. We now define the concrete Γ-tensor $V(P,\phi,A)$ as the following sum in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\Gamma)}]$.

$$V(P,\phi,A) = \sum_B \sigma(P,\phi,B,A) V(P,\phi,B,A) \tag{42}$$

Remark 7.2. *The signed condition in this definition stems from pairing dual $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ weight vectors.*

We have already established $M_{0,4}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) = SL_2(\mathbb{C})\backslash[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \times M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, we will now use this to construct $T_{0,4} \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,4}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ out of pairs of elements in $T_{0,3} \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$.

Lemma 7.3. *For any orientation data A, the Plücker generator $P_{ij}(A)$ of $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,4}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is a $\mathcal{T}$-tensor in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^2]$ for any $4-$tree $\mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. We depict two trinodes meeting at a common edge in Figure 8.



FIGURE 8. A Plücker generator as a $\mathcal{T}-$tensor.

Following the definition, to compute the Γ tensor formed by taking some choice of columns $[x, y], [u, v]$ on the external edges, we must compute the form

$$det\begin{bmatrix} x & b_1 \\ y & d_1 \end{bmatrix} det\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & u \\ c_2 & v \end{bmatrix} - det\begin{bmatrix} x & a_1 \\ y & c_1 \end{bmatrix} det\begin{bmatrix} b_2 & u \\ d_2 & v \end{bmatrix} \tag{43}$$

and restrict it to $M_{0,4}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \cong M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^2/SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The above expression simplifies to the following, which produces $xv - yu$ when evaluated at $A_1 = A_2 = I$.

$$xu(c_1d_2 - d_1c_2) - xv(c_1b_2 - d_1a_2) + yv(a_1b_2 - b_1a_2) - yu(a_1d_2 - b_1c_2) \tag{44}$$

□

The previous lemma gives an inductive proof of the following proposition.

Proposition 7.4. *For any orientation data A, the Plücker generator $P_{ij}(A)$ of $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is a $\mathcal{T}$ tensor in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\mathcal{T})}]$ for any tree $\mathcal{T}$ with n leaves.*

As a consequence we obtain the following.

Proposition 7.5. *The set of Plücker monomials in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ agrees with the set of $\mathcal{T}$-tensors in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\mathcal{T})}]$ for any tree $\mathcal{T}$ with n leaves.*

Proof. The set of $\mathcal{T}$-tensors is closed under multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\mathcal{T})}]$, so all Plücker monomials must be in the set of $\mathcal{T}-$tensors by Proposition 7.4. Furthermore, for any $\mathcal{T}$ tensor, we can follow the bijections ϕ at pairs of joined edge elements to pick out a path in $\mathcal{T}$. It is easily verified that the Γ tensor defined by this path is a Plücker generator. Since all $\mathcal{T}$-tensors are monomial products of paths, it follows that any $\mathcal{T}$-tensor can be identified with a monomial product of Plücker generators. □

We can now prove the main result of this subsection.

Proposition 7.6. *The sets of Γ-tensors, $T_\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\Gamma)}]$ are all in $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))] \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\Gamma)}]$. Furthermore, these sets all coincide, $T_{\Gamma'} = T_\Gamma = T_{g,n}$.*

Proof. We take an edge e which connects two distinct vertices $v, u \in V(\Gamma)$, and we let $\mathcal{T}_e \subset \Gamma$ be the link of these endpoints. Fixing a $\Gamma-$tensor $V(P, \phi, A)$, we consider a linear decomposition into multiplies of $\mathcal{T}_e$-tensors given by summing over fixed orientations B_e on the leaves of $\mathcal{T}_e$.

$$V(P, \phi, A) = \sum_{B_e} (-1)^{\sigma_{B_e}} V(P_{\mathcal{T}_e}, \phi_e, B_e) \otimes V(P_{\Gamma \setminus \{e\}}, \phi_{\Gamma \setminus \{e\}}, B_{\Gamma \setminus \{e\}}) \tag{45}$$

Here $V(P_{\Gamma \setminus \{e\}}, \phi_{\Gamma \setminus \{e\}}, B_{\Gamma \setminus \{e\}})$ is a tensor on the graph $\Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ which depends on dual orientation data, and $(-1)^{\sigma_{B_e}}$ is some sign. Notice that if $V(P_{\mathcal{T}_e}, \phi_e B_e)$ is an $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ invariant for each B_e, then $V(P, \phi, A)$ is as well. But as each of these forms is a $\mathcal{T}_e$ tensor, this follows from Theorem 7.5.

Each $V(P_{\mathcal{T}_e}, \phi_e, A_e)$ is a member of $T(0, k+m)$, where $k+1$ and $m+1$ are the valences of v and w respectively, and it is therefore a product of Plücker generators independent of $\mathcal{T}_e$. This implies that each $V(P_{\mathcal{T}_e}, \phi_e A_e)$ can be replaced with $\mathcal{T}$-tensor for any fixed tree $\mathcal{T}$ with $k+m$ leaves. This implies that we may switch $T_\Gamma = T_{\Gamma'}$ for any mutation equivalent graph.

It remains to establish $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ invariance when e connects a vertex v to itself. If Γ is not a single trinode with a loop, we may use mutations to expand and replace Γ, and reduce to the previous case. To treat the remaining case, we consider $M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))/SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, under the action on the $3, 4$ and $5, 6$ right hand indices. A direct calculation shows that gluing two paths with indices in the first and second columns of $\{2i-1, 2i\}$ and $\{2j-1, 2j\}$ respectively yields an expansion into right hand side $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ invariants, the general case is then handled by induction. □

For any Γ-tensor $V(P, \phi, A)$, we can produce a new Γ-tensor $V(P_\gamma, \phi, A)$ by reversing the Plücker monomials $p_{ij} \to p_{ji}$ along a (possibly non-simple) path γ. The following are easily verified by hand.

Proposition 7.7. *If γ is a closed, $V(P_\gamma, \phi, A) = V(P, \phi, A)$, if γ is open, $V(P_\gamma, \phi, A) = -V(P, \phi, A)$.*

7.3. **The weight filtration.** We introduce a technical tool to study $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, the $\Gamma-$weight filtration, this should be distinguished from the $\Gamma-$level filtration defined in the introduction. The associated graded ring of this filtration is a semigroup algebra, which comes with a natural basis. We will lift this basis to a subset of $T_{g,n}$, in order to produce a basis for $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$. This construction has also appeared in 12.2 of [FG], in [MSW] over more general coefficient rings, and in [M4] in the context of conformal blocks. The weight filtration could also be constructed from the theory of spin diagrams, [LP].

We begin with the $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-stable filtration on $\mathbb{C}[SL_2(\mathbb{C})] = \bigoplus_{a \geq 0} V(a, a)$ by dominant weights a. Following [Gr] (Chapter 7), the associated graded algebra of this filtration is the invariant subalgebra $[\mathbb{C}[x, y] \otimes \mathbb{C}[u, v]]^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, spanned by those monomials where the x, y degree equals the u, v degree. This is the coordinate ring of the scheme $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U_- \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U_+]/\mathbb{C}^*$, where U_+, U_- are the groups of upper and lower triangular matrices respectively. We use this to induce a $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^6-$stable filtration on $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^3$, by letting $F_{\leq (a,b,c)} \subset \mathbb{C}[SL_2(\mathbb{C})^3]$ be the sum $\bigoplus_{i \leq a, j \leq b, k \leq c} V(i, i) \otimes V(j, j) \otimes V(k, k)$, this gives the associated graded ring $[\mathbb{C}[x, y]^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathbb{C}[u, v]^{\otimes 3}]^{(\mathbb{C}^*)^3}$. This filtration is then imposed on $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$.

Proposition 7.8. *The space $F_{\leq (a,b,c)} \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ is the span of the Plücker monomials with $\leq a, b, c$ end points in the three respective edges of a trinode. Any non-zero element of $T_{0,3}$ with this property has a non-zero image in $F_{\leq (a,b,c)}/F_{<(a,b,c)}$. The associated graded algebra is the affine semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_{0,3}]$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ weightings of Figure 9, such that a, b, c are the sidelengths of a triangle, sum to an even number, and $x + y = a, u + v = b, s + t = c$.*



FIGURE 9. A diagram representing an element of $H_{0,3}$.

Proof. The diagonal $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ action on the x, y side of $[\mathbb{C}[x,y]^{\otimes 3} \otimes \mathbb{C}[u,v]^{\otimes 3}]^{(\mathbb{C}^*)^3}$ corresponds to the left diagonal $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ action on $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^3$. Taking diagonal invariants on the left hand side yields the semigroup algebra of the (a,b,c) as above. The $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ action then picks out the subring where the sum of the u, v degrees matches those of the x, y, which is equal to a, b, or c respectively. The third statement now follows from the fact that the filtration is $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-stable.

The diagrams representing the generators p_{ij} are given by a 1 on the edges connecting the sets containing i, j, 1's on the locations indicated by the places occupied by i, j in these sets, and 0's elsewhere. The p_{ij} constitute a subduction basis for this filtration, as their induced diagrams generate $H_{0,3}$. This proves the first and second statements. □

We obtain a filtration F_Γ on $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))^{V(\Gamma)}]$ which is $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^{E(\Gamma)}-$invariant by placing $F_{0,3}$ at each trinode $v \in V(\Gamma)$, this then passes to $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$.

Definition 7.9. *We let H_Γ be the set of functions w which assigns each edge $e \in E(\Gamma)$ a non-negative integer $w(e)$, and assigns two additional numbers $w(\ell,1), w(\ell,2)$ to each leaf ℓ such that the following conditions are satisified.*

1. *For every vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, with incident edges e, f, g, the three numbers $w(e), w(f), w(g)$ form the sides of a triangle, and sum to an even number.*
2. *For every leaf ℓ, with leaf edge e_ℓ, we have $w(e_\ell) = w(\ell,1) + w(\ell,2)$.*

Proposition 7.10. *The associated graded algebra of F_Γ is $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$.*

Proof. The algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$ is the sum of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^{E(\Gamma)}$ invariants in the spaces $\bigotimes_{v \in V(\Gamma)} V(a_v) \otimes V(b_v) \otimes V(c_v)$, where the copy of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ associated to e acts on representations assigned to the endpoints of e. Taking invariants forces the endpoints to have the same weight, which produces $w : E(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as above. The resulting space has a basis labelled by a particular w, and all monomials $u_\ell^{w(\ell,1)} v_\ell^{w(\ell,2)} \in V(r_\ell)$, for leaves ℓ. Multiplication in this algebra is then induced from $\mathbb{C}[H_{0,3}^{V(\Gamma)}]$, and is therefore addition on the w and product on these monomials. □

Remark 7.11. *The affine scheme Spec$(\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma])$ is also the result of plugging the $SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-space $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U_- \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U+]/\mathbb{C}^*$ into the construction $M_\Gamma(-)$. We emphasize that although $M_\Gamma(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ does not depend on Γ, $M_\Gamma([SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U_- \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U_+]/\mathbb{C}^*)$ certainly does. This construction, along with the degeneration, can be carried out for any G, see e.g.* [M4].

We can compute a weight diagram $[w] \in H_\Gamma$ for a Γ-tensor $V(P, \phi, A)$, by letting $w(e)$ be the number of paths passing through e, and $w_{\ell,1}$ and $w_{\ell,2}$ be the number of [UP] and [DOWN] orientations on the paths which terminate at ℓ, respectively. The images of the $V(P, \phi, A)$ in the associated graded ring $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$ is then $[w]$ by the second statement in Proposition 7.8. Notice that many Γ-tensors can be assigned the same $[w]$.

7.4. **Planar Γ-tensors.** Now we use a ribbon structure on Γ to find a way to lift weight diagrams $[w] \in H_\Gamma$ back to $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ in a canonical way, the resulting elements are called planar Γ-tensors. For a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, with edges e, f, g, we consider the weightings $w(e), w(f), w(g)$ defined by $[w] \in H_\Gamma$.

A ribbon structure gives a way to define "planar" sets of paths in a trinode $v \in V(\Gamma)$. We place linear orders on the endpoints of these paths which are consistent with the cyclic ordering. Such an assignment is planar if for every ordering of edges $e \to f$, the paths associate the endpoints last to first. There is a unique planar way to arrange x_{ij} paths inside this object such that the number paths passing through a given edge i is $w(i)$, this is given by the equations $x_{ef} = \frac{1}{2}(w(e) + w(f) - w(g))$, $x_{fg} = \frac{1}{2}(w(f) + w(g) - w(e))$, $x_{ge} = \frac{1}{2}(w(g) + w(e) - w(f))$.

If some edge of v is a leaf ℓ, we must decide how to lift the data $w(\ell,1), w(\ell,2)$. In our description of $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, these numbers count the number of orientations of each type, we place these on the linearly ordered ends of the paths, with all of the $w(\ell,1)$ [UP] orientations coming first. If two trinodes w, v share a common edge e, the chosen linear orders of the paths meeting along this edge define a unique identification map ϕ by sending first path endpoint to last. Figure 10 depicts an example of this



FIGURE 10. A planar $\Gamma-$tensor.

lift. Finally, following Proposition 7.7, we assign directions on the paths which have endpoints, going from smallest index to largest. In this way, $[w]$, along with the chosen ribbon structure on Γ define an abstract Γ-tensor $V(P_w, \phi_w, A_w)$. The Γ-tensors obtained in this way must satisfy the following properties by construction.

Proposition 7.12. (1) *The images of the $V(P_w, \phi_w, A_w)$ in the associated graded ring $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$ are the elements $[w]$.*
(2) *The $V(P_w, \phi_w, A_w)$ form a vector space basis of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$.*

7.5. **Skein relations.** We conclude our $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ analysis with the skein relations, see also [FG], [PS], [LP] for discussions of these relations. First we introduce the notion of a cap on a $\Gamma-$tensor. We fix two paths in a trinode, both with endpoints in an edge with indices $\{1, 2\}$, one terminating in this edge, the other terminating elsewhere. A cap on these two paths is the form $p_{i2}p_{1j} - p_{i1}p_{2j}$, for i, j other indices in the trinode at the begining and end of these paths. The following are easily verified by calculation.

(1) If $\{i, j\}$ are in different edges, the cap yields p_{ij}.

(2) If $\{i, j\}$ are in a leaf, the cap yields ± 1 or 0 when $i \neq j$ or $i = j$, respectively.

(3) If $\{i, j\}$ are in a common non-leaf edge, connected to paths p_{ab}, p_{cd} the resulting tensor simplifies to the cap on the b, c indices.

(4) If a cap is applied to the ends of a pair of paths on the same set of edges, it retracts to give a multiple of 2.



FIGURE 11. Retracting caps.

Now we look at ways that orderings on paths can be altered. Once again, we start with two paths in a trinode, and we suppose a linear order has been introduced along the endpoints in each edge. In the definition of planar $\Gamma-$tensor, the paths in a trinode are in a planar arrangement if they are connected along these orders, first to last. If this is not the case, the paths "cross", next we see how to address this situation.

Lemma 7.13. *Given two paths which cross inside of a trinode, we can perform a Plücker relation which yields a sum of elements, each with the same ordering of endpoint indices, but with no crossings.*

Proof. We assume that the paths have a common origin edge, other orientation cases are handled in a similar way. If the origin edge is a leaf, either the orientations are the same and there is nothing to do, or an internal $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ relation does the trick. Otherwise we assume these paths originate at $\{3,4\}$, connect to indices b, c, and are connected to two other paths through this edge along indices $\{1,2\}$, themselves connected to indices a, d. This is given by the form $(p_{a1}p_{4b} - p_{a2}p_{3b})(p_{d1}p_{4c} - p_{d2}p_{3c})$. The "uncrossing" is then the form $(p_{a1}p_{4c} - p_{a2}p_{3c})(p_{d1}p_{4b} - p_{d2}p_{3b})$. Their difference is $(p_{a1}p_{d_2} - p_{a2}p_{d1})(p_{c4}p_{b3} - p_{c3}p_{b4})$, the product of the two caps at the meeting edge. □



FIGURE 12. An uncrossing relation.

The indices a, b, c, d were not chosen in any particular edges of the meeting trinodes, which allows us to adapt this argument to operations performed locally inside of larger $\Gamma-$tensors. For any tree $\mathcal{T} \subset \Gamma$, we have seen that we can decompose a Γ-tensor $V(P, \phi, A)$ as a sum of $\mathcal{T}-$tensors paired with tensors from $\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{T}$, the graph obtained by eliminating all internal edges and vertices of $\mathcal{T}$.

$$V(P, \phi, A) = \sum V(P_{\mathcal{T}}, \phi_{\mathcal{T}}, A_{\mathcal{T}}, B_{\mathcal{T}}) \otimes V(P_{\Gamma\setminus\mathcal{T}}, \phi_{\Gamma\setminus\mathcal{T}}, A_{\Gamma\setminus\mathcal{T}}, B_{\Gamma\setminus\mathcal{T}}) \tag{46}$$

Given a ribbon structure on Γ, we can induce a ribbon structure on a subtree $\mathcal{T}$, which then gives a cyclic ordering on the leaves. The Plücker algebra comes with a notion of planarity, namely a monomial is planar when $i < \ell < j < k$ never holds for $p_{ij}p_{\ell k}|M$. We leave it to the reader to verify that our notion of planarity matches this notion.

Lemma 7.14. *A Plücker monomial is planar if and only if it can be given the structure of a planar $\Gamma-$tensor.*

The Plücker relations correspond locally to those we describe in Lemma 7.13, we can therefore perform Plücker relations as above on $V(P, \phi, A)$ by replacing each $V(P_{\mathcal{T}}, \phi_{\mathcal{T}}, A_{\mathcal{T}}, B_{\mathcal{T}})$ in the expansion with a sum of two forms produced by a Plücker relation. Each of the resulting summands have the same index data $A_{\mathcal{T}}, B_{\mathcal{T}}$. This observation allows us to write $V(P, \phi, A) = V(P'\phi', A') - V(P'', \phi'', A'')$, where the two forms on the right hand side satisfy the duality condition on the orientation indices at the boundary edges where $\mathcal{T}, \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{T}$ meet. It is a straightforward case check to show that caps can be retracted, and directions in the resulting paths can be coherantly reversed to conform to the definition of Γ-tensor. These calculations yield rules for how closed and open paths interact, see Figure 13.

Now we outline how these relations can be used to expand any $V(P, \phi, A)$ into planar $\Gamma-$tensors (note that we already know that this is the case on a tree $\mathcal{T}$ by Lemma 7.14). We assign linear orders to the endpoints of the paths terminating at each edge in a trinode of Γ, such that the ϕ_e are all planar bijections, and all leaf indices are as in the definition of planar Γ-tensor. This presents $V(P, \phi, A)$ as "almost" planar, with crossings inside the trinodes of Γ. The Reidemeister moves can be seen from this point of view as reorderings of these endpoints, notice that this does not change the underlying $\Gamma-$tensor. We use Plücker relations as in Lemma 7.13 to make the arrangements of paths in each trinode planar. Uncrossings do not affect the order of the indices along the edges, so we obtain a sum of planar Γ-tensors. Note that this sum is independent of our choices, as the planar $\Gamma-$tensors form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$.

In order to take the product of two planar $\Gamma-$tensors, one chooses any mixing of the orderings along the edges which satisfies the planar conditions, and expands, as above. By this process, a product $V(P_w, \phi_w, A_w)V(P_{w'}, \phi_{w'}, A_{w'})$ of two planar Γ-tensors is $V(P_{w+w'}, \phi_{w+w'}, A_{w+w'})$ plus a sum



FIGURE 13. Rules for resolving crossings.

of planar $\Gamma-$tensors with strictly smaller weight diagrams in the partial order on edge weights $w(e)$, see Figure 12.

7.6. **Functions associated to $\Gamma-$tensors.** For each word $\omega \in F_g$ there is a regular function $\tau_\omega \in \mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ obtained by evaluating ω on matrices $A_i \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ and taking the trace of the result. The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 7.15. *The set of trace words coincides with the set $T_{g,0} \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{g,0}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$.*

The construction by the graph Γ_g identifies the character variety $\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ with the *GIT* quotient $SL_2(\mathbb{C})\backslash[SL_2(\mathbb{C})^{2g}]/SL_2(\mathbb{C})^g$. We order the indices of this product, $1, \dots, 2g$, and place them in pairs, $2p-1, 2p$. The isomorphism $\Phi : M_{\Gamma_g}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is computed by sending $(k_1, h_1, \dots, k_g, h_g)$ to $(k_1 h_1^{-1}, \dots, k_g h_g^{-1})$. We let $\omega = \prod x_{i_p}^{\epsilon_p}$, $\epsilon_p = \pm 1$ and w be the length of ω. In order to relate trace words to the Γ_g-tensors, we define an auxiliary map $\Psi_\omega : \mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathcal{X}(F_w, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

$$\Psi_\omega(k_1 h_1^{-1}, \dots, k_g h_g^{-1}) = ((k_{i_1} h_{i_1}^{-1})^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, (k_{i_w} h_{i_w}^{-1})^{\epsilon_w}) \tag{47}$$



FIGURE 14. Right: $C_{[10]}$, Left: A $\Gamma_{10}-$tensor obtained from a word with inverses.

The lift $\tilde{\Psi}_\omega : M_{\Gamma_g}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) \to M_{\Gamma_w}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is then computed by sending $(k_1, h_1, \dots, k_g, h_g)$ to the tuple with $p-th$ entry equal to k_{i_p}, h_{i_p} if $\epsilon_p = 1$, and h_{i_p}, k_{i_p} if $\epsilon_p = -1$. We let $\tau_{[g]}$ be the trace word defined by the word $x_1 \dots x_g$. The following is a consequence of these definitions.

$$\Psi_\omega^*(\tau_{[w]}) = \tau_\omega \tag{48}$$

In sympathy, we define $C_{[g]}$ to be the Γ_g tensor defined by the paths $2p \to 2p+1$, see the right hand side of Figure 14. A direct calculation then shows that the pullback $\tilde{\Psi}_\omega^*(C_\omega)$ is then the tensor defined by the paths $2i_p \to 2i_{p+1} - 1$. Notice that any path in Γ_g is of this form for some word in the indices. Proposition 7.15 is a consequence of the following Lemmas.

Lemma 7.16. *For matrices A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2, and vectors $[x,y],[u,v]$, the $\Gamma-$tensor form obtained by gluing the paths $[x,y] \to A_1$, $B_1 \to A_2$, $B_2 \to [u,v]$ agrees with the form obtained by gluing the paths $[x,y] \to A_1 B_1^{-1}$, $B_2 A_2^{-1} \to [u,v]$.*

Proof. This is a direct calculation. □

Lemma 7.17.

$$\Phi^*(\tau_{[w]}) = C_{[w]} \tag{49}$$

Proof. The case $w = 2$ can be checked directly. Supposing that the statement holds for w, we prove it for $w+1$. We observe that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (k_1, h_1 \ldots, k_w, h_w, k_{w+1}, h_{w+1}) & \longrightarrow & (k_1, h_1, \ldots, k_w h_w^{-1}, h_{w+1}^{-1} k_{w+1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (k_1 h_1^{-1}, \ldots, k_w h_w^{-1}, k_{w+1} h_{w+1}^{-1}) & \longrightarrow & (k_1, h_1 \ldots, k_w h_w^{-1} k_{w+1} h_{w+1}^{-1}) \end{array}$$

One now uses Lemma 7.16 to see that the $C_{[w]}$ tensor on the tuple $(k_1, h_1 \ldots, k_w h_w^{-1}, k_{w+1}^{-1} h_{w+1})$ gives $C_{[g+1]}$. □

Now, given an arbitary Γ with no leaves and $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$, a map $\pi : \Gamma \to \Gamma_g$ gives a canonical way to identify the set of $\Gamma-$tensors with the set of Γ_g-tensors. Such maps are given by a spanning tree $\mathcal{T} \subset \Gamma$. After choosing a cyclic ordering of the edges $E(\Gamma) \setminus E(\mathcal{T})$, trace words are therefore identified with $\Gamma-$tensors for any such graph.

Remark 7.18. *We note that the sum the weights along the edges of Γ_g for the weight diagram of C_ω is the word length of ω. This shows that the natural filtration on $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ by trace word length fits into the framework of the filtrations we consider in this paper.*

Remark 7.19. *For each weight diagram $w \in H_\Gamma$, there is a $1-$dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, with basis member the spin diagram associated to w, see* [LP]. *These constitute another basis of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}(F_g, SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$. Propositions 7.8 and 7.12 imply that the expansion of a $\Gamma-$tensor into the spin diagram basis is lower-triangular with respect to the ordering on the weight diagrams, and that the spin diagram corresponding to the weight diagram of a $\Gamma-$tensor appears with some coefficient as its first summand in this expansion.*

Remark 7.20. *The identification $M_{0,3}(G) = G^2$ can be used to prove that $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(G)]$ is generated by the spaces $[V(\omega_i, \omega_i^*) \otimes V(\omega_j, \omega_j^*) \otimes V(\omega_k, \omega_k^*)]^G$, as ω_i runs over the fundamental weights of G, this suggests a way to formulate $\Gamma-$tensors for general type. One starts with a basis $B(\omega_i) \subset V(\omega_i)$, and $B_{ijk} \subset [V(\omega_i, \omega_i^*) \otimes V(\omega_j, \omega_j^*) \otimes V(\omega_k, \omega_k^*)]^G$ with the property that each element $f \in B_{ijk}$ of the form $\phi \otimes (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3)$, for $v_i \in B(\omega_i)$ and $\phi \in [V(\omega_i) \otimes V(\omega_j) \otimes V(\omega_k)]^G$.*

The set $T_{0,3}(G) \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(G)]$ is then the set of monomials in these generators. The definition of an element of $T_\Gamma(G)$ is then a straightforward generalization of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ case. For $G = SL_m(\mathbb{C})$, one can take the Plücker monomials and their dual forms in $\mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_m(\mathbb{C}))]$ for this set.

7.7. $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ **unipotent invariants.** The algebra $\mathbb{C}[P_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ of unipotent invariants has a simple description in terms of $\Gamma-$tensors. The subring $\mathbb{C}[SL_2(\mathbb{C})/U] \subset \mathbb{C}[SL_2(\mathbb{C})]$ is the polynomial ring generated by the variables in the first column, a, c. In terms of the diagrams, the unipotent invariants are the elements with the $[UP]$ orientation on each leaf, Equation 50 shows this for $0, 3$.

(50) $p_{35} =$, $p_{15} =$, $p_{13} =$

The subsemigroup $U_\Gamma \subset H_\Gamma$ of those weightings with $w(\ell, 2) = 0$ for all leaves ℓ indexes the weight diagrams of the $\Gamma-$tensors in $\mathbb{C}[P_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$, and is an associated graded algebra for this ring.

8. Skein relations and conformal blocks

In this section we focus on the case Γ a trivalent graph, with associated curve $(C_\Gamma, \vec{p}_\Gamma)$. We will show that the weight filtration constructed from a graph Γ on $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ in Subsection 7.3 extends to $W_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, and that the associated graded algebra of this filtration is a Rees algebra of the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$. This gives a method of constructing presentations of the algebras $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

8.1. **The $0,3$ case.** First we compute a presentation of the coordinate ring of $B_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. Proposition 5.1 gives the following inclusion.

$$W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) = \bigoplus_{i,j,k,L} W_{0,3}(i,i,j,j,k,k,L) \subset \tag{51}$$

$$\bigoplus_{i,j,k,L} [V(i,i) \otimes V(j,j) \otimes V(k,k)]^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})} t^L \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))] \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$$

The $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ case of Lemma 4.1 and the Clebsh-Gordon rule imply that the space $W_{0,3}(i,i,j,j,k,k,L)$ is either 0 or $V(i) \otimes V(j) \otimes V(k)$, and that it is non-trivial precisely when the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) (Clebsh-Gordon) i,j,k are the lengths of the sides of a triangle.

(2) (Clebsh-Gordon) $i+j+k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$.

(3) (Lemma 4.1) $i+j+k \leq 2L$

The space $[V(i,i) \otimes V(j,j) \otimes V(k,k)]^{SL_2(\mathbb{C})}$ is spanned by those Plücker monomials in $T_{0,3} \subset \mathbb{C}[M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ with i,j,k endpoints in the first, second, and third edges a trinode, respectively. From this it follows that the $L-$th level of the level filtration is spanned by the Plücker monomials of degree $\leq L$. It follows that $W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is presented by the 12 Plücker generators and any "empty" generator, subject to 15 homogenized Plücker relations.



In particular, $B_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is the codimension 2 subvariety of the Grassmannian $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^6)$ defined by the equations $p_{12} = p_{34} = p_{56}$. A presentation of the graded coordinate ring of the divisor $D_{0,3} \subset B_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is obtained by setting the empty generator equal to 0.



This is the affine semigroup defined by the 6-dimensional polytope $P_{0,3} \subset \mathbb{R}^9$ given by the supports of the generators of $H^*_{0,3}$ from Subsection 7.3.

8.2. **Skein relations for $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ conformal blocks.** Proposition 6.3 implies that $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)]$ carries a filtration by the spaces $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(L) = \bigoplus_{r_i \leq L} W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(\vec{r},\vec{r},L)$.

Proposition 8.1. *The space $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(L)$ has the set of planar $\Gamma-$tensors with $\leq L$ paths through each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ as a basis. The corresponding filtration on $V_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ has an identical description.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3, the space $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(L)$ is a direct sum of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})^{E(\Gamma)}$-invariants in the spaces $\bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)} W_{0,3}(a_v,a_v,b_v,b_v,c_v,c_v,L)$. By definition, any Γ-tensor with $\leq L$ paths through each vertex in Γ is contained in $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\gamma}(L)$. We may therefore pass to the associated graded space by the weight filtration F_Γ, which is spanned by those weight diagrams with $a_v + b_v + c_v \leq 2L$. The fact that the described planar $\Gamma-$tensors lift this diagrams then proves the proposition. □

In Section 7.3 we show that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]$ has an associated graded algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$ for each trivalent graph Γ with $\beta_1(\Gamma) = g$ and n leaves. We define an increasing filtration on H_Γ as follows.

Definition 8.2. *The $L-$th part $H_\Gamma(L)$ is defined to be the set of weightings $w \in H_\Gamma$ with $w(v,1) + w(v,2) + w(v,3) \leq 2L$ for any vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$.*

Proposition 8.3. *The Rees algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]$ of $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma]$ is an associated graded algebra of $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof. We can place a weight diagram filtration on $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ by inducing it from the inclusion in $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))][t]$. The proof of Proposition 8.1 imples that the points in $H_\Gamma(L)$ index a basis in $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(L)$, and that $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]$ is the associated graded algebra of this filtration. □

As a result, $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is generated by those Γ tensors whose weight diagrams generate H_Γ^*, subject to skein relations of level $\leq$ the degree of relations necessary to present H_Γ^*. One can define U_Γ^* in an identical manner to H_Γ^*, which then satisfies the analogue of Proposition 8.3 with the algebra $V_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. In general, H_Γ^* is generated by diagrams of level $\leq g+1$. This follows from a modification of the main result of [BBKM], which pertains to the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[U_\Gamma^*]$. Now we discuss these conclusions for some example graphs.

8.3. **Genus 2 curves.** The algebras $V_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ for both of the genus 2 trivalent graphs are isomorphic to the affine semigroup algebras $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]$. In Figure 15 we show the defining polytopes $H_\Gamma(1)$.



FIGURE 15. The two compactifications of $\mathcal{X}(F_2, SL_2(\mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}^3$.

Note that these polytopes agree outside of the highlighted facets, which correspond to the divisor D_Γ. Off this divisor, they are the simplicial semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[M_{2,0}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))] = \mathbb{C}[z_1,z_2,z_3]$.

8.4. **Genus 3 curves.** The trivalent genus 3 graphs are depicted in Figure 16.



FIGURE 16. The trivalent graphs of genus 3.

We analyze the algebra $V_{C_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ for Γ the leftmost graph in Figure 16. The semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]$ is generated by the simple loops in this graph. Each of these loops x_{abc} is determined by the values a,b,c on the outer edges.



FIGURE 17. The diagram representing x_{abc}.

The generating relation is $x_{111}x_{100}x_{010}x_{001} = x_{110}x_{101}x_{011}x_{000}$. We lift this by expanding the product $x_{110}x_{101}x_{011}x_{000}$ with skein relations.

$$x_{111}x_{100}x_{010}x_{001} - x_{110}x_{101}x_{011}x_{000}$$

$$+x_{110}^2x_{000}^2 + x_{101}^2x_{000}^2 + x_{011}^2x_{000}^2$$

$$+x_{100}^2x_{000}^2 + x_{010}^2x_{000}^2 + x_{001}^2x_{000}^2 + x_{111}^2x_{000}^2 - 4x_{000}^4$$

$$+x_{111}x_{100}x_{011}x_{000} + x_{111}x_{010}x_{101}x_{000} + x_{111}x_{001}x_{110}x_{000}$$

$$+x_{100}x_{010}x_{110}x_{000} + x_{100}x_{001}x_{101}x_{000} + x_{010}x_{001}x_{011}x_{000} = 0$$

The boundary divisor is then defined by the equation $x_{000} = 0$, yielding $x_{111}x_{100}x_{010}x_{001} = 0$. Each of these generators can be translated into a trace word by choosing the spanning tree in Γ which misses the edges a, b, c in Figure 17. The word associated to x_{abc} is then trace of the elements corresponding to the indices $\{a, b, c\}$ with value 1.

8.5. **A genus g case.** Now we restrict ourselves to the set of graphs Υ_g of the following form.



FIGURE 18. The graph Υ_g.

In [M4] the semigroup $H^*_{\Upsilon_g}$ is shown to be generated by those weightings of level ≤ 2, subject to quadratic relations in these generators. It follows that generators of $V_{C_{\Upsilon_g}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ are disjoint unions of loops with edges of multiplicity at most 2, see Figure 19. Following Subsection 7.6, we identify the generating elements with trace words by selecting the spanning tree which misses the top edge of each loop in Figure 18 and the loops at the ends of the graph, and ordering these edges from left to right. Each of these trace words has a pole of order 1 or 2 along the divisor $D_{\Upsilon_g} \subset B_{C_{\Upsilon_g}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.



FIGURE 19. Ribbon representations of trace words.

For an edge $e \in E(\Upsilon_g)$ one can consider products of generators $[w_1][w_2]$ which have the same weight on e, in this case the left hand sides of these generators with respect to e can be exchanged, producing a relation $[w_1][w_2] = [w_1'][w_2']$. This type of relation is lifted by the operation depicted in Figure 21. In a similar manner, relations can be produced from a relation on an internal loop in Υ_g, these relations are lifted by the operation depicted in Figure 22. In [M4] it is shown that extensions of the quadratic relations on the generators in Figure 20, along with the exchanging relations at edges suffice to generate the relations in $H^*_{\Upsilon_g}$. Each of these relations can be lifted using the templates given by Figures 21 and 22, and standard monomial techniques.



FIGURE 20. The building blocks of generators on Υ_g.



FIGURE 21. Skein relation which resolves a crossing along an edge.



FIGURE 22. Skein relation which resolves a crossing in a trinode.

9. Geometry of $B_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $K_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$

We describe the components of the closed intersection stratification defined by the boundary divisor $D_\Gamma \subset B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ as the *Proj* of graded planar algebras. We also show that the components of the compactification of $Spec(\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma])$ defined by the Rees algebra $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]$ are degenerations of the components of D_Γ.

9.1. **The ideals I_S.** The fact that $W_{C,\vec{p}}(G)$ is a Rees algebra of $\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(G)]$ defined by a collection of valuations which are in bijection with the vertices $v \in V(\Gamma)$ gives us an irreducible component $D_v \subset D_\Gamma$ for each vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$, with $\cup D_v = D_\Gamma$. We define a closed stratification by setting $D_S = \cap_{v\in S} D_v$, see Section 10 for more background on this construction.

The coordinate ring of D_Γ is $gr_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]) = W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))/ < t >$, and the intersection of $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(L)$ with this ideal has a basis of those planar $\Gamma-$tensors with $< L$ paths through each vertex as a basis. It follows that the $L-$th graded component of $gr_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}[M_{g,n}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))])$ has a basis composed of those planar $\Gamma-$tensors which have exactly L paths through some vertex. Multiplication in this algebra is computed by expanding a product into planar $\Gamma-$tensors, and removing any summand with $< L$ paths through all vertices.

Definition 9.1. *An ideal I in an algebra with a basis given by planar $\Gamma-$tensors is called planar if it has a basis of planar Γ-tensors.*

Planar bases exist for any $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))/I$, with I any planar ideal. This property holds for the ideal I_S, which cuts out the component D_S.

Proposition 9.2. *The ideal $I_S \subset W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, is planar. The graded component $I_S(L)$ has a basis of those planar $\Gamma-$tensors with $< L$ paths through some vertex in S. The component $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(L)/I_S(L)$ has a canonical basis of planar $\Gamma-$tensors which have exactly L paths through each vertex in S, and $\leq L$ paths through each $v \in V(\Gamma) \setminus S$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that expanding a $\Gamma-$tensor in I_S by skein relations gives planar $\Gamma-$tensors in I_S, as the resulting planar $\Gamma-$tensors have strictly smaller associated weight diagrams. □

By arguments which are identical to those used for $V_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, the scheme $Proj(\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*])$ is $Spec(\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma])$ with divisor K_Γ. The ideals $J_S \subset \mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]$ which cut out the irreducible components $K_S \subset K_\Gamma$ have an identical description to the I_S as vector spaces, following the bijection between planar $\Gamma-$tensors and weight diagrams. This allows us to identify the bottom component $D_{V(\Gamma)}$ as a projective toric variety.

Proposition 9.3. *The scheme $K_{V(\Gamma)} \subset Proj(\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*])$ is isomorphic to $D_{V(\Gamma)} \subset B_{C,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof. The ideal $I_{V(\Gamma)}$ has a basis of those planar Γ tensors which are not maximal level for some $v \in V(\Gamma)$. The coordinate ring $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))/I_{V(\Gamma)}$ is therefor spanned by planar Γ-tensors which are maximal everywhere in Γ. When multiplication of two basis members $V(P_w,\phi_w,A_w)V(P_{w'},\phi_{w'},A_{w'})$ is expanded into $V(P_{w+w'},\phi_{w+w'},A_{w+w'})$ plus lower planar $\Gamma-$tensors, all of the lower summands disappear, as these all have some vertex without maximal level. This is precisely multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[H_\Gamma^*]/J_{V(\Gamma)}$. □

9.2. **The scheme D_S.** Now we describe the geometry of the scheme D_S both as quotient of a product of simpler schemes, and as a deformation of a toric scheme. We define the ideal $\bar{I}_S \subset \bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)} W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ with the same defining condition as I_S.

Lemma 9.4.

$$\bar{I}_S \cap W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C})) = I_S \tag{52}$$

Proof. The $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{E(\Gamma)}-$stable spaces in $\bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)} W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ are indexed by an assigment of non-negative integers to the edges of the forest $\hat{\Gamma}$, and a non-negative integer L_v to each vertex in $\hat{\Gamma}$. Since $\bar{I}_S$ is defined as a direct sum of these spaces, we can consider an $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{E(\Gamma)}$-invariant element $\tau \in \bar{I}_S$, to be in one of these summands without a loss of generality. In turn, τ may be expressed as a sum of planar $\Gamma-$tensors $V(P_i,\phi_i,A_i)$, each with distinct weight diagrams. The planar $\Gamma-$tensors with a specific number of paths through each edge of Γ form a basis of the component vector spaces, so the $V(P_i,\phi_i,A_i)$ all lie in the sum of component spaces with with weight diagrams $\leq$ that of τ. But then these Γ-tensors must be elements of I_S. Furthermore, $\bar{I}_S$ contains I_S. □

We re-write the product above as

$$[\bigotimes_{v\in S} W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))] \otimes [\bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)\setminus S} W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]. \tag{53}$$

Observe that $\bar{I}_S$ is the ideal $< t_v | v \in S >$, for $t_v \in W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ the empty tensor of level 1. Quotienting by this ideal then gives the ring $\bigotimes_{v\in S} gr_L(M_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))) \otimes \bigotimes_{v\in V(\Gamma)\setminus S} W_{0,3}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. Note that this algebra also has an action of $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{E(\Gamma)}$.

We let Γ_S be the induced graph in Γ defined by the vertices S, and Γ^S be the induced graph of the complement of S. By taking invariants in the above coordinate ring by the actions of $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{E(\Gamma_S)}$ and $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{E(\Gamma^S)}$ we obtain the following algebra.

$$[\bigotimes_{\Gamma_{i,S}\subset\Gamma_S} \mathbb{C}[D_{V(\Gamma_{i,S})}]] \otimes [\bigotimes_{\Gamma^{j,S}\subset\Gamma^S} W_{C_{\Gamma^{j,S}},\vec{p}_{\Gamma^{j,S}}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))] \tag{54}$$

Here the product runs over the connected components $\Gamma_{i,S} \subset \Gamma_S$, $\Gamma^{j,S} \subset \Gamma^S$, and $D_{V(\Gamma_{i,S})}$ is the lowest stratum of the divisor $D_{\Gamma_{i,S}} \subset B_{C_{\Gamma_{i,S}},\vec{p}_{\Gamma_{i,S}}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. We let $\mathcal{L}_S$ be the intersection of the leaves of Γ_S and Γ^S, with $E(\Gamma) = \mathcal{L}_S \cup E(\Gamma_S) \cup E(\Gamma^S)$. It follows that D_S is the irreducible, projective scheme obtained by taking the $Proj$ of the $[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{\mathcal{L}_S}$ invariants in this algebra. This concludes the proof of the 1st and 2nd parts of Theorem 1.5.

$$\mathbb{C}[D_S] = [[\bigotimes_{\Gamma_{i,S}\subset\Gamma_S} \mathbb{C}[D_{V(\Gamma_{i,S})}]] \otimes [\bigotimes_{\Gamma^{j,S}\subset\Gamma^S} W_{C_{\Gamma^{j,S}},\vec{p}_{\Gamma^{j,S}}}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))]]^{[SL_2(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{C}^*]^{\mathcal{L}_S}} \tag{55}$$

We finish this subsection by relating these components to toric schemes.

Proposition 9.5. *Each D_S has a degeneration to the toric scheme K_S.*

Proof. Multiplication in $\mathbb{C}[D_S]$ is computed by expanding the product in $W_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$, and cutting off terms with $< L$ paths through some vertex in S. In terms of the weight diagrams this process does not eliminate the leading term given by the sum of the component weight diagrams. Using the techniques of Section 7.3 we can filter this algebra by weight diagrams and take associated graded. □

9.3. **The stratification of $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$.** We construct the strata of the scheme $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ by taking U^n quotients of each of the D_S. The scheme $E_S = D_S/U^n$ is cut out of $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ by the ideal $I_S^{U^n} = I_S \cap V_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$. This is the planar ideal in $V_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ with a basis given by those planar $\Gamma-$tensors in I_S which have only [UP] orientations at their leaves.

9.4. **The structure of the stratification poset.** We address when $I_S = I_T$ for distinct subsets $S, T \subset V(\Gamma)$. To simplify our arguments, we treat the case of $K_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and the semigroup U_Γ^*, however everything we say transfers immediately to $B_{C_\Gamma,\vec{p}_\Gamma}(SL_2(\mathbb{C}))$ and the semigroup H_Γ^*. As the ideals I_S are planar, our analysis will focus on producing basis members from weightings $[w] \in U_\Gamma^*$ which have particular properties with respect to the graph Γ. We begin with the following observation.

Lemma 9.6. *For $S \subset V(\Gamma)$, if there is a weight diagram $[w] \in U_\Gamma^*(L)$ with the property that the sum $w(e) + w(f) + w(g)$ for the edges e, f, g around a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ is $2L$ precisely when $v \in S$, then I_S is distinct from all I_T with $S \subset T$.*

Proof. The planar $\Gamma-$tensor $V(P_w, \phi_w, A_w)$ corresponding to $[w]$ is in each I_T, but not in I_S. □

By abuse of notation, we pass to the convex cone which supports U_Γ^* in $\mathbb{R}^{E(\Gamma)} \times \mathbb{R}$. We note that if a rational point can be found which satisfies the requirements of Lemma 9.6, it can be sufficiently multiplied to procure a weight diagram.

Proposition 9.7. *If Γ has a leaf, then for any $S \subset V(\Gamma)$, there is a point in U_Γ^* which satisfies the condition of Lemma 9.6.*

Proof. We let $w_\Gamma \in U_\Gamma^*$ be the weighting of level 3 which assigns each edge 2. Each vertex has the sum $w(e) + w(f) + w(g) = 6$, we show that the value of this sum can be lowered for v in any given set of vertices. Fix $v \in V(\Gamma)$, choose a simple path $e_1, \ldots, e_k$ from an edge e_1 which borders v to a leaf $e_k = \ell$. We obtain a new weighting from w_Γ, by changing the weight along this path with some rational, sufficiently small ϵ.

$$w'(e_1) = w_\Gamma(e_1) - \epsilon, w'(e_2) = w_\Gamma(e_2) + \epsilon, \ldots, w'(e_k) = w_\Gamma(e_k) \pm \epsilon$$

This does not change the total sum around any vertex, except v, which has its total sum lowered by ϵ. We can inductively repeat this procedure for all vertices in $V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ to produce the required weighting. □

The point w_Γ lies in the face of the polytope $U_\Gamma^*(3)$ corresponding to the $S = V(\Gamma)$. This face is the polytope for a toric degeneration of $C_{V(\Gamma)}$, so we may analyze it to compute the dimension of this stratum. First, we describe $U_\Gamma^*(L)$ in a more convenient way. We choose a set of g edges $\{e_1, \ldots, e_g\}$, which give a tree $\mathcal{T}$ when they are split $\{e_1, e_1', \ldots, e_g, e_g'\}$, this defines a covering $\pi : \mathcal{T} \to \Gamma$. We Choose a leaf ℓ_n of Γ, and set this to be a sink in $\mathcal{T}$ by placing a direction on all edges in $\mathcal{T}$ toward ℓ_n.



FIGURE 23. Introducing a direction on $\mathcal{T}$.

We introduce $V(\Gamma)$ slack variables, L_V at the vertices of Γ, and we identify $U_\Gamma^*(L)$ with the labellings w of $E(\mathcal{T})$ which satisfy the triangle inequalities at each trinode, $w(e_i) = w(e_i')$, and $w(e_v) + w(f_v) +$

$w(h_v) = L_v$, $L_v \leq L$. In [M4] it is shown that the dimension of U_Γ^* is $3g + 2n - 3$, the number of edges. The value of a w on $\mathcal{T}$ is determined by the L_v and the edges $e_1, \ldots, e_g, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_{n-1}$. Forgetting for a moment that $w(e_i) = w(e_i')$, the value of w on ℓ_n is a linear combination of the $L_v, w(e_i), w(e_i'), w(\ell_i)$, with all coefficients equal to ± 1.

(1) The coefficient of the $w(e_i), w(e_i'), w(\ell_k)$ is equal to $(-1)^{d+1}$, where d is the length of the directed path containing the leaf and ℓ_n.

(2) The coefficient of $L - L_v$ is equal to $(-1)^{d+1}$, where d is the length of the directed path which starts at v and includes ℓ_n.

If we pass to the face corresponding to $C_{V(\Gamma)}$, we set all L_v equal to L. The result is freely determined by $\geq n + g - 1 = |E(\Gamma)| - |V(\Gamma)|$ parameters. This allows us to prove the following result on E_Γ.

Proposition 9.8. *If Γ has a leaf, for any $S \subset V(\Gamma)$, the codimension of E_S is $|S|$*

Proof. This follows from the fact that each E_S is distinct, and that the codimension of $E_{V(\Gamma)}$ is less than or equal to $|V(\Gamma)|$. If any E_S has codimension greater than $|S|$, then it would follow that $E_{V(\Gamma)}$ would have codimension greater than $|V(\Gamma)|$. □

We can adapt the proof of Proposition 9.7 to prove the following for $n = 0$ graphs.

Proposition 9.9. *If $S \subset V(\Gamma)$ has a pair of points connected by a simple path of odd length, then there is an element in U_Γ^* which satisfies the condition of Lemma 9.6. If Γ has an odd length simple cycle, then for all $S \subset V(\Gamma)$, there is a point in U_Γ^* which satisfies the condition of Lemma 9.6.*

Proof. The idea is the same as the proof of Proposition 9.7, we can construct paths from any vertex to the odd length cycle, or a node which has already been "lowered". We then observe that if $v, w \in V(\Gamma)$ are seperated by a path of odd length, their total sums can be simultaneously lowered by shrinking the weight on the first, respectively last edges in such a path by a common ϵ. □



FIGURE 24. Changing the weighting along a path to an odd cycle.

Proposition 9.9 and the proof of Proposition 9.8 then shows that if Γ has an odd length simple cycle, each D_S has codimension $|S|$. Furthermore, if Γ has only even length simple cycles, E_S is distinct from each C_T for $S \subset T$, if $V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ contains a pair of points an odd distance apart. Notice that this condition is heritable, if $S \subset T$ and S has this property, then so does T. Furthemore if S does not have this property, then neither does $R \subset S$. This leads us to the following proposition, which finishes the proof for the 3rd and 4th parts of Theorem 1.5. Recall that a graph is bipartite if and only if each of its simple cycles has even length.

Proposition 9.10. *If Γ has $n = 0$, and is bipartite, then the lattice formed by the D_S is the quotient of the Boolean lattice on $V(\Gamma)$ by the ideal of those $S \subset V(\Gamma)$ such that $V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ is contained in one of the sets in the partition of $V(\Gamma)$ defined by the bipartite structure. The component $D_S = D_{V(\Gamma)}$ and has codimension $2g - 3$ if S is in this ideal, and has codimension $|S|$ otherwise.*

Proof. We show that if $V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ has only even-distanced points, then $I_S = I_{V(\Gamma)}$. We replace Γ with a tree $\mathcal{T}$ as above, and designate e_1 to be the sink.

The even cycle condition results in the tautology $w(e_1) = w(e_1^*)$ on the sink, with all other edges making no contribution. We now set all $L_v = L$ for $v \in S$, and consider the contribution of the



$$w(X) = L + (L_1 - L) - w(e_2) - w(e_1^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned} w(e_1) &= -(L_1 - L) + w(e_2) + w(e_1^*) - w(e_2^*) \\ &= -(L_1 - L) + w(e_1^*) \end{aligned}$$

FIGURE 25. Calculating $w(e_1)$ for Γ bipartite.

$L_u, u \in V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ to the weighting on e_1. We replace L_u with $L + (L_u - L)$, and observe that the even cycle condition ensures that the first L part of these terms all cancel at the sink. The fact that all such u are an even distance apart then ensures that the remaining contributions $(L - L_u)$ all have the same sign. But this implies if one of the L_u is less than L, some other must be greater than L, as the sum of the contributing $L - L_u$ must be 0. It follows that no total sum around any vertex in $V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ may be less than L. If $V(\Gamma) \setminus S$ has points which are an odd distance apart, then the contribution of the L_u terms have different signs. This implies that these terms can all be less than L, as long as they satisfy a single linear equation. □



FIGURE 26. A non-Boolean stratification poset.

10. Appendix

We collect some material on filtrations on a domain A and their relationship with the geometry of the affine scheme $Spec(A)$. We fix a ground field $k \to A$, and we consider increasing filtrations v on A, $\cup_{n \geq 0} v_{\leq n} A = A$, with $k \subset A_0$, such that each $v_{\leq n}(A)$ is a finite dimensional k vector space. One can define the Rees algebra $R_v(A) = \bigoplus v_{\leq n}(A)$ over the polynomial ring $k[t]$, where the action of t sends $v_{\leq n}(A)$ to itself as a subspace of $v_{\leq n+1}(A)$. For any filtration there is an associated graded algebra,

$$gr_v(A) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} v_{\leq n}(A)/v_{<n-1}(A). \tag{56}$$

If $gr_v(A)$ is a domain, then the information of v is equivalent to a valuation on A. Structural and geometric properties of the the three algebras $A, R_v(A), gr_v(A)$ are closely related, see e.g. [AB].

Proposition 10.1. *The following hold for any filtration v as above.*

(1) *$R_v(A)$ is flat over $k[t]$.*

(2) *The localization $t^{-1}R_v(A)$ is isomorphic to $A[t, t^{-1}]$.*

(3) *The specialization $R_v(A)/t$ is isomorphic to $gr_v(A)$.*

Geometrically, this proposition implies that $Spec(R_v(A))$ forms a flat family over the affine line. The fiber over 0 in this family is isomorphic to $Spec(gr_v(A))$ and all other fibers are isomorphic to $Spec(A)$. The algebra $R_v(A)$ is graded, so we can form the scheme $\bar{X} = Proj(R_v(A))$. This scheme

is covered by the open neighborhoods $D(f) = \{[p] \subset R_v(A) | f \notin p\}$ for $f \in R_v(A)$ homogenous, with $\bar{X} \setminus D(f) = Proj(R_v(A)/f)$. Here $D(f)$ is the affine scheme obtained by taking the spectrum of the subalgebra $[f^{-1}R_v(A)]_0$ of the localization $f^{-1}R_v(A)$. The above proposition implies that $\bar{X}$ is a disjoint union of the open set $X = D(t) = Spec(A)$ and the hypersurface $Proj(gr_v(A)) = \bar{X} \setminus X = D_v$.

Finite generation of the algebra $gr_v(A)$ is equivalent to finite generation of $R_v(A)$ by a SAGBI basis style argument, and therefore implies finite generation of A. If in addition, no generators are degree 0, $\bar{X}$ is a projective scheme, which embeds into a weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(\vec{a})$ as a closed subscheme, where $\vec{a} = \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ are the degrees of the generators of $R_v(A)$.

Let $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ be a collection of valuations on A, we can form a product filtration $F = max\{v(1), \ldots, v(m)\}$ on A by setting $F_{\leq n}(A) = \cap_{i=1}^m v(i)_{\leq n}(A)$. One might ask how the divisor D_i for each of the $v(i)$ is related to the divisor D_F defined by F, this is answered by the following proposition. We let $\bar{X}_i$ be $Proj(R_{v(i)}(A))$.

Proposition 10.2. *The divisor D_F is union of components C_i, each of which is the image of the corresponding D_i.*

Proof. Note that $F_{\leq n}(A)$ comes with an inclusion map to each $v(i)_{\leq n}$, and therefor a map to the sum of the graded components $v(i)_{\leq n}(A)/v(i)_{\leq n-1}(A)$.

$$F_{\leq n}(A) \to v(1)_{\leq n}(A)/v(1)_{\leq n-1}(A) \oplus \ldots \oplus v(m)_{\leq n}(A)/v(m)_{\leq n-1}(A) \tag{57}$$

If a vector $f \in F_{\leq n}(A)$ maps to 0 in this direct sum, it follows that $f \in v(i)_{\leq n-1}(A)$ for all i, so this map descends to the quotient by $F_{\leq n-1}(A) = \cap_{i=1}^m v(i)_{\leq n-1}(A)$. This defines a $1-1$ map on graded algebras.

$$\phi : gr_F(A) \to \oplus_{i=1}^m gr_{v(i)}(A) \tag{58}$$

In particular there is a map $\phi_i : gr_F(A) \to gr_{v(i)}(A)$ for each i.

□

We let $I_j \subset R_F(A)$ be the graded ideal which vanishes under the composition $R_v(A) \to gr_F(A) \to gr_{v(j)}(A)$. Elements $f \in I_j \cap F_{\leq L}(A)$ are those for which $v(j)(f) < L$. Similarly, for a subset $S \subset V$, we let I_S be the homogenous ideal with $L-$th component $I_S(L)$ the elements $f \in F_{\leq L}(A)$ with $v_i(f) < L$ for some $v_i \in S$. Furthermore, if $f \notin I_S(L)$ and $g \notin I_S(K)$, then $v_i(f) = L$ and $v_i(g) = K$ for all $v_i \in S$. This implies that $fg \notin I_S(L+K)$, i.e. the I_S are all prime. The total coordinate ring $R_V(A)$ is another algebra we can associate to the set V.

$$R_V(A) = \bigoplus_{\vec{r} \in \mathbb{Z}^m} \cap (v_i)_{\leq r_i}(A) \tag{59}$$

We let $t_i \in R_V(A)$ be the copy of 1 in the $0, \ldots, 1, \ldots 0$ graded component, making $R_V(A)$ an algebra over $\mathbb{C}[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$. The algebra $R_V(A)$ carries a natural action of an $m-$torus T^m, we let $T \subset T^m$ be an $m-1$ torus which acts on the space $\vec{r}-$graded component with character $(r_1 - r_2, \ldots, r_m - r_{m-1})$. For a subset $S \subset V$, we define $gr_S(A)$ to be the quotient algebra $R_V(A)/ < t_i | v_i \in S >$. The following can be verified from the definitions.

(1) $R_F(A) = [R_V(A)]^T$,

(2) $I_S = < t_i | v_i \in S > \cap R_F(A)$.

(3) $R_F(A)/I_S = gr_S(A)^T$

References

[A] T. Abe, *Projective normality of the moduli space of rank 2 vector bundles on a generic curve*,Transactions AMS, Vol. 362 ,(2010), 477-490.

[AB] V. Alexeev and M. Brion, *Toric degenerations of spherical varieties*, Selecta Mathematica 10, no. 4, (2005), 453-478.

[Ba] J. Baez, *An Introduction to Spin Foam Models of BF Theory and Quantum Gravity*, Lect.Notes Phys. 543 (2000), 25-94.

[B1] A. Beauville, *Conformal Blocks, Fusion Rules, and the Verlinde formula* Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., **9**, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan (1996), 7596.

[BL] A. Beauville, Y. Lazlo, *Conformal Blocks and Generalized Theta Functions* Math. Phys. 164 (1994) 385-419.

[BBH] U. Bhosle, I. Biswas, J. Hurtubise, *Grassmannian framed bundles and generalized parabolic structures*, arXiv:1202.4239 [math.AG]

[Bh] U. Bhosle, Parabolic vector bundles on curves, Ark. Mat. 27 (1989), 15-22.

[Br] M. Brion, *The total coordinate ring of a wonderful variety*, J. Algebra 313 (2007) 61, 99.

[Bu] W. Buczyńska. *Phylogenetic toric varieties on graphs*. J. Algebraic Comb., 35(3) (2012), 421460.

[BBKM] W. Buczyńska, J. Buczyński, K. Kubjas, and M. Michalek, *Degrees of generators of phylogenetic semigroups on graphs*, arXiv:1105.5382v1 [math.CO].

[BW] W. Buczyńska and J. Wieśniewski, *On the geometry of binary symmetric models of phylogenetic trees*, J. European Math. Soc. 9 (2007) 609-635.

[DM] P. Deligne, D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus.* Publications Mathmatiques de l'IHS, 36 (1969), p. 75-109

[Fal] G. Faltings, *A proof of the Verlinde formula* J. Algebraic Geometry 3 (1994), 347-374.

[FG] V.V. Fock, A.B. Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmuller theory*, Publ.Math. Inst.Hautes Etudes Sci. No. 103 (2006), 1211.

[FMS] P. Francesco, P. Mathieu, D Senechal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer, Dec 13, (1996), 890.

[FH] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory* GTM, Vol. 129, Springer, Berlin, (1991).

[G1] W. Goldman, Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations, Invent. Math. 85, (1986), 263-302.

[G2] W. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces. Advances in Math. 54, (1980), 200-225.

[Gr] F.D. Grosshans, *Algebraic homogeneous spaces and invariant theory*, Springer Lecture Notes, vol. 1673, Springer, Berlin, (1997).

[Hu] Y. Hu, *Toric degenerations of GIT quotients, Chow quotients, and* $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$, Asian J. Math. 12, 1, (2008), 47-54.

[HK] Y. Hu, S. Keel, Mori dream spaces and GIT. Michigan Math. J. 48, (2000), 331348.

[HJ] J. Hurtubise, L. Jeffrey, *Representations with weighted frames and framed parabolic bundles.* Canad. J. Math. 52 no. 6 (2000) 1235-1268.

[HJS] J. Hurtubise, L. Jeffrey and R. Sjamaar, *Moduli of framed parabolic sheaves.* Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 28 (2005) 351370.

[KMSW] A.N. Kirillov, P. Mathieu, D. Senechal, and M.A. Walton, *Crystalizing the depth rule and WZNW fusion coefficients*, Proceedings of the XIXth International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Physics, Salamanca, Spain, (1992).

[KM] K. Kubjas, C. Manon, *Conformal blocks, Berenstein-Zelevinksy triangles, and group-based models*, arXiv:1308.4888 [math.AG].

[Ku] S. Kumar, *Demazure character formula in arbitrary Kac-Moody setting*, Invent. Math. 89, 395-423 (1987).

[KNR] S. Kumar, M.S. Narasimhan, A. Ramanathan, *Infinite Grassmannians and moduli spaces of G-bundles* Math. Annalen 300, (1994), 41-75.

[LP] S. Lawton, E. Peterson, *Computing* $SL(2;C)$ *Central Functions with Spin Networks*, Geometriae Dedicata, Volume 153, no. 1 (2011), 73-105.

[LS] Y. Lazlo and C. Sorger, *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G-bundles over curves and their sections*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (4) 30 (1997), 499-525.

[L] E. Looijenga, *Conformal blocks revisited*, arXiv:math/0507086v1 [math.AG]

[M4] C. Manon *The algebra of conformal blocks*, (2010), arXiv:0910.0577 [math.AG]

[M7] C. Manon *Coordinate rings for the moduli of* $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ *quasi-parabolic principal bundles on a curve and toric fiber products*, J. Algebra, Vo. 365, 1, (2011) 163183.

[M10] C. Manon *The algebra of $SL_3(\mathbb{C})$ conformal blocks*, Trans. Groups, August (2013), (DOI) 10.1007/s00031-013-9240-y.

[MT] J. Martens, M. Thaddeus, *Compactifications of reductive groups as moduli stacks of bundles*, arXiv:1105.4830.

[Mu] D. Mumford, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete **34** (1965), Springer.

[MSW] G. Musiker, R. Schiffler, L. Williams *Bases for cluster algebras form surfaces*, Compositio Math 149, 2, (2013) 217-263

[NS] M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri: Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. Ann. of Math. 82 (1965) 540567.

[P] C. Pauly, *Espaces de modules de fibrs paraboliques et blocs conformes*, Duke Mathematical Journal 84, (1996) 217-235.

[PS] J.H. Przytycki, A. Sikora, *On Skein Algebras and $SL_2(\mathbb{C})-$Character Varieties*, Topology, 39, 1, (2000), 115-148.

[RW] J. Rasmussen, M A Walton, *Affine su(3) and su(4) fusion multiplicities as polytope volumes*, J. Phys A, 36, 32, (2002).

[S1] A. Sikora, *Character varieties* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364, no. 10, (2012), 5173 -5208.

[StV] B. Sturmfels and M. Velasco, *Blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ at n points and spinor varieties*, J. Commutative Algebra 2 (2010), 223-244.

[StXu] B. Sturmfels and Z. Xu, *Sagbi Bases of Cox-Nagata Rings*, JEMS, Volume 12, 2, (2010).

[TW] C. Teleman and C. Woodward, *Parabolic bundles, products of conjugacy classes, and Gromov-Witten invariants*, Annales d L'institut Fourier, 53, no 3, (2003), 713-748.

[TUY] A. Tsuchiya, K. Ueno, and Y. Yamada, *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Adv. Studies in pure Math. 19 (1989) 459-566.

[U] K. Ueno, *Introduction to conformal field theory with gauge symmetry*, Fields Institute Monographs; Vo. 24. (2008).

Christopher Manon:
Department of Mathematics,
George Mason University
Fairfax, VA 22030 USA